

# Engineering Society Council Minutes

---

Thursday, October 9<sup>th</sup>, 2014

Beamish-Munro Hall (ILC) 313

Speaker: Nick Hetherington

Secretary: Oleg Baranov

Meeting Begins: 6:01

## I. Adoption of the Agenda

### Motion 1:

BE IT RESOLVED THAT

Council approve the agenda of the Council meeting of Thursday, October 9<sup>th</sup>, 2014 as seen on the EngSoc website.

Moved by: Eleanor McAuley

Seconded by: Nick Hetherington

Opening (Eleanor McAuley): We have one more thing that was sent in last minute by Peter Davidson. We are looking for two people to sit on the Board of Directs Strategic Planning Committee. We will be adding that to the agenda as Motion 9.

Motion Passes: Time: 6:01 (27 for, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions)

## II. Adoption of the Minutes

### Motion 2:

BE IT RESOLVED THAT

Council approve the minutes of the Council meeting of Thursday, October 9<sup>th</sup>, 2014 as seen on the EngSoc website.

Moved by: Oleg Baranov

Seconded by: Eleanor McAuley

Opening (Oleg Baranov): If you have something that you would like added to the minutes, please email it to me right now, or preferably save it for next council. My email is 1ob1@queensu.ca

Motion Passes: Time: 6:02 (27 for, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions)

### **III. Speaker's Business**

Nick Hetherington: Welcome to tonight's edition of council. I'd like to remind you to please state your name when you speak. Tonight's council is most likely going to be long, so please keep it short and to the point. The next time of business is pizza, so we have 5 min for that.

### **IV. New Business: Motions 3-8**

#### **Motion 3:**

BE IT RESOLVED THAT

Council approve the policy changes as seen in Appendix RATIFY.

Moved by: Eleanor McAuley

Seconded by: Madeline Poirier

Opening (Eleanor McAuley): So, it may look like nothing's changed at first. I've printed off two copies. One of the copies is the old policy, and the second copy has all the changes on it. This is just to clear up who can be ratified. Normally we only ratify directors, however that is open to change.

Alex Shieck: Ratification is something that I deal with a lot. Can someone who knows what it actually means, and how it works, please explain it to everyone here.

Eleanor McAuley: The process of ratification is to put people into positions of power at EngSoc. This can vary from design teams to volunteers.

Emily Townshend: I'd like to propose that event coordinators are added to that list.

Alex Shieck: If we are going to start adding people to this list, we need to think about what requires someone to be ratified. What is it about? Not everyone within EngSoc needs to be ratified. So let's figure out what the qualifications for this process are.

Matt Slavin: I think we need to have a discussion about this motion, and the legitimacy of ratifications in general. What has happened in the past, is people are paraded in front of Council, and then everyone votes "Yes" and they're in. And besides that, not many questions are asked. Let's think about what the reason for ratifications is in general? Is there a reason for which we need to double check exactly who we are hiring, and how we are doing that? I think that in general this is something that hasn't been addressed in the past, and should not be put on the backburner for any longer.

Alex Savides: I think we are getting a bit sidetracked here. Let's first address whether we should add event coordinators to the ratification list, and then move into a discussion about the ratification process in general.

Dylan Bram: Can I suggest that we move to a committee as a whole discussion for this?

Alex Wood: Can someone please explain what committee as a whole entails?

Nick Hetherington: A committee as a whole facilitates a more of a debate environment in Council, and we do not have to stick to the strict guidelines of Council.

Mike Bodley: I agree that right now ratifications are being used as a joke. I suggest that we start by making a list of relevant questions that can be asked first, and then move into a discussion of ratifying event coordinators.

Alex Shieck: Just to clear this up, this is for individual people's ratifications, not for groups.

Alex Savides: I also agree with Matt Slavin. In the past ratifications have been a bit of a waste of time for Council. Let's first address the fact that the motions which are passed during ratification are serious, and actually addresses the issue at hand. Additionally, we should start with making sure that ratifications at the AGM are up to par, and actually do the job that they are designed for.

Alex Wood: I like the idea of limiting how many people we can ratify now. However I think that there are some positions which are personal growth, and others which have a huge responsibility that rests on them. This specifically addresses positions like Sci' Formal Convener, and OC.

Eric McElroy: What do other organizations do for ratifications?

Nick Hetherington: Can anyone speak to any other faculties or societies?

Alex Shieck: For the AMS, some positions are paid a lot of money, and for that reason are ratified by default. Does anyone feel that ratification is something that is in existence for making sure our hiring process is proper?

Andrew Crawford: There are people that are involved in clubs and teams that are not ratified. Aside from anyone in EngSoc, should they be ratified too?

Alex Savides: Policy wise, when design teams are ratified they must present a constitution of how their internal hiring process occurs. That way we ratify the club as a whole, including their internal procedures.

Eleanor McAuley: It's really hard of figuring out how good someone is going to be at their job with only a few questions that are asked during ratification.

Frank Hung: What happens if someone is ratified, and they don't follow the clear procedure that was set out for them to follow?

Alex Shieck: If they are not ratified by us, then we have no business with them. Additionally, if anyone is looking for more ways to make sure that design teams are running properly, there are other policies that can be set into place for that.

Emily Townshend: I think that it is valuable to have a check-and-value system for council. However ratifications are hard, because people are not inclined to vote against someone when looking the person in the eye.

Matt Slavin: Let's have faith in our hiring system, and save time during Council. We have the exec team, and the director team that monitor the hiring and firing system that is already in place.

Alex Wood: Last night we had a hiring town hall. I don't think that people take it as a joke, there has been a lot of work put into it.

Mike Bodley: By ratifying the directors, we are already taking the effort to make sure that the hiring policy is fair.

Frank Hung: Why don't we make it so that a ratification has to be unanimous?

Emily Townshend: No that's a bad idea.

Alex Shieck: If we are going to do this, people should be outside when we do vote.

Alex Wood: If you think that someone should not be in the position that they are in, you should look the person in the eyes.

Dylan Bram: Does anyone have any more questions? Or idea?

Curtis Lyndsay: How quickly after being hired does someone get ratified?

Eleanor McAuley: With the new policy in place, it will be approximately 2-3 weeks. In the past it could be as long as 6 months. And what the policy says now, is that excludes the directors, who are ratified at the AGM. Also, after the AGM there are two council sessions in order to allow for time to ratify people.

Jay Young: Right after the Service Director is hired, Head and Assistant Managers are being hired? Will there be enough time to hire staff at the end of the winter term?

Mike Bodley: That's a slippery slope. They will need to take extra care that any and all ratification motions are sent in.

Sam Anderson: I saw the value of ratification in my first year. I think that Council holds ratification because some smart questions are asked, and that way everyone is updates on the issues at hand.

Alex Shieck: If we go away from ratification, we may lose the ability to ask people questions about them and their position, which can be very valuable.

Michelle McKay: I'm in full support that extra ratification in Council is not necessarily needed. But it is something we should continue doing with the already existing positons, as they put a face to a position for everyone at Council.

Emily Townshend: Maybe a good transition would be to get rid of ratifications, and have a mandatory presentation that people need to make to all of Council.

Andrew Crawford: Just keep in mind that there are a lot of people in some positions, for example event coordinators. This would take up a lot of the Councils time. It may turn redundant, and waste time.

Eric McElroy: I just wonder if there any other things that we haven't talked to about in terms of this policy?

Eleanor McAuley: We've spent a lot of time talking about this, from ERB to the Exec-Director Team. We decided to bring this to Council, and a lot of people are interested in this issue, and are interested in voicing their opinions.

Andrew Crawford: ERB is not here when we are talking about ratification. Should we move this to another Council? I feel that they should have a say on this in Council.

Eleanor McAuley: Should we table this then?

Jay Young: Has ERB looked at the policy change?

Eleanor McAuley: Of course, and Madeline Poirier has put a lot of work into this last year.

Matt Slavin: Just to clarify, if we pass this motion, we are not eliminating ratifications.

Eleanor McAuley: Yes. We just want to limit the amount of people that are ratified every year. Additionally, there is no policy as to what happens if someone is not ratified.

Alex Savides: There are a few interesting things in policy that have to do with removing people from positions, that are very detrimental. Additionally, there are things in policy that have to do with firing directors, and executives.

Eleanor McAuley: Additionally, in general, pushing the AGM a bit earlier would benefit us in general. It'll essentially create a larger safety net for us to fix any mistakes that may have been made.

Alex Wood: Jus to summarize everything. We have decided that we should not be adding a lot more ratifications to the policy. With that let's move out of committee as a whole.

Emily Townshend: I would like to withdraw my motion.

Matt Slavin: So when this policy passes, the only ratifications that must occur are for directors during the AGM? Right?

Eleanor McAuley: Yes, that statement is correct.

Jay Young: If a director is unable to attend the AGM, what happens then?

Eleanor McAuley: If there is a valid reason for which they cannot be present, the director of internal affair can step in for them and still be ratified on their behalf.

Sam Anderson: Does it actually specify that they need to be present for the ratification?

Eleanor McAuley: It says that this requirement can be waived under serious conditions at the discretion of the director of internal affairs. For example, they are in the middle of a flight.

Andrew Crawford: To make this very explicit, Council will also decide if that excuse is valid. So, we will make sure that it's actually a good reason for which they are not here.

Emily Townshend: Additionally, they can also call/Skype in if need.

Eleanor McAuley: I would like to reiterate for everyone that the director of internal affairs that I will be in contact with the person, and do my best to make sure they attend. Additionally, thank you for all of your feedback.

Motion Passes: 6:52 (29 for, 1 opposed, 0 abstentions)

**Motion 4:**

BE IT RESOLVED THAT

Council approve the first reading of the bylaw changes as seen in Appendix RATIFY.

Moved by: Eleanor McAuley

Seconded by: Michael McLaren

Opening (Eleanor McAuley): This is just adding a ratification bylaw.

Dylan Bram: I'd like to add the same bylaw as for the last motion.

Nick Hetherington: Any more debate on the motion?

Motion Passes: 6:55 (30 for, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions)

**Motion 5:**

BE IT RESOLVED THAT

Council approve the budget for the 2014-2015 year as seen on the EngSoc website.

Moved by: Erin Murphy

Seconded by: Peter Davidson

Opening (Erin Murphy): I've spent a lot of time working on this, so please make sure that you have read it. And if you do have any questions, please make sure to ask them now.

Nick Hetherington: Is there any debate on the motion?

Frank Hung: What is resource creation line under the portfolio of the director of academics?

Sam Anderson: These are resources for EngLinks. Specifically creating workbooks, and more online resources. And additionally, a textbook lending library.

Matt Slavin: Are we still paying secretary twice?

Erin Murphy: That issue will be dealt with through a further amendment.

Eleanor McAuley: I would like to amend my budget, because we do not need to pay the secretary twice.

Carolyn Fisher: I had two quick amendments to my budget. The first is to remove the one line of revenue from a router that we were planning to sell. Additionally, we will now be needing two rental vans to go to John Orr.

Andrew Crawford: Is there anything specific that should be discussed about the budget at council?

Eleanor McAuley: Something that I would like to add a place in the EngSoc lounge to display the award winners within the Society. That way people are properly recognized for the work which they have done.

Mike Bodley: I would encourage everyone to look at the budget reports before asking questions, just to save time.

Jacqueline Craig: For the first year director, there is a lot of money budgeted towards food. Since they all have meal plans, is that the best allocation of our resources?

Alex Savides: Food is very good at bringing people together. That's why even if people have meal plans, free pizza tends to do a good job at bringing people together.

Emily Townshend: Additionally first year are bad at feeding themselves in general, so bring food to these events will definitely be attractive to people.

Alex Wood: Additionally, having food at the conference is completing that experience for them. That way they don't have to run out and feed themselves.

Alex Shieck: Pizza in Council makes everyone very happy, and I think that speaks for itself.

Kristy Tu: Was there an increase in attendance at the first year elections this year because of the addition of pizza?

Alex Savides: YES!

Stephen Martin: There's almost \$7,000.00 put towards the John Orr dinner, and the appreciation dinner. Is that the best allocation of our resources?

Alex Savides: The price of going to John Orr has jumped, due to the price of the tickets and the additional van that is now needed. For that reason, that increase is not surprising to me.

Stephen Martin: I just wanted to make sure that there has been thought put into it.

Matt Slavin: I'm glad that the point was brought up. I know that some people that unimpressed with the amount of money that has been allocated towards appreciation dinners. Has executive looked into decreasing the amount of money allocated towards this?

Erin Murphy: Yes we did have to cut a significant amount of money out of these appreciation dinners. This includes the budgets for directors and service managers.

Alex Shieck: When it comes to appreciation dinners, I do think that the director do deserve them. The director and executive teams work very hard throughout the school year, and for that reason in my opinion that is an appropriate budget.

Matt Slavin: Are we okay with thinking that other people think that we are over-budgeting the appreciation dinners?

Alex Savides: To compare us to the AMS, they have more expensive transition dinners, and more of them. For that reason, I think that because the director team does not get paid and do it because they love the Society, it is an appropriate budget. We have thought about other ways to appreciate, but I think that by allowing the directors to have a good transition dinner.

Dylan Bram: Is there an estimation to how much more of the actuals are still going to be added to the budget?

Erin Murphy: I've been very busy this past week. If you would like me to present the actuals to Council again later, I can most definitely do that. Keep in mind that this budget is already very accurate, however I will keep updating it throughout the year.

Stephen Martin: Can we please fix the addition in line 26 of the IT budget. It seems wrong.

Peter Davidson: Can we please change the budget of QUESSI to \$3,110.10. My bad.

Matt Slavin: That is a lot of money in the surplus line? What does the exec think about that?

Erin Murphy: We've only recently discovered this. I will do my best to spread this amongst the different portfolios over the next week.

Peter Davidson: In terms of the additional funds, we will bring this in terms of future capital projects to council.

Alex Shieck: I think there has been a mistake made in my design team budget. Could we please fix that?

Erin Murphy: That's just me done goofed.

Eleanor McAuley: Is there any money that we have now come to the conclusion we are not spending?

Eric McElroy: It may be that the IT budget may have some excess funds in it, in light of what we have recently worked out with the university.

Dylan Bram: So can we remove this line from the budget?

Eleanor McAuley: This is something that we will need to check with the Constitutional Guru.

Emily Townshend: With knowing how the university works, let's leave it in the budget until it's signed on the dotted line.

Erin Murphy: Well, we learned a lot. Good talk!

Motion Passes: 7:45 (32 for, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions)

**Motion 6:**

BE IT RESOLVED THAT

Council elect Matt Slavin as speaker for Council on October 23<sup>rd</sup> 2014.



Moved by: Eleanor McAuley  
Seconded by: Nick Hetherington

Opening (Eleanor McAuley): We need someone to be speaker for one council. Any ideas?

Nick Hetherington: I'll take nominations.

Peter Davidson: I would like to nominate Matthew William Slavin.

Matt Slavin: I graciously accept.

Nick Hetherington: Is there any more debate about this motion?

Eleanor McAuley: Slavin gets to live his lifelong dream, so we should all be happy.

Motion Passes: 8:03 (29 for, 1 opposed, 2 abstentions)

**Motion 7:**  
BE IT RESOLVED THAT  
Council approve the bylaw changes as seen in their second reading, as seen in appendix POKER.

Moved by: Alex Shieck  
Seconded by: Emily Townshend

Opening (Alex Shieck): I close.

Nick Hetherington: Is there any debate on the motion?

Motion Passes: 8:07 (28 for, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions)

**Motion 8:**  
BE IT RESOLVED THAT  
Alex Wood & Jamil Parini be elected to sit on the Strategic Planning Committee of the Board of Directors for the 2014-2015 term.

Moved by: Peter Davidson  
Seconded by: Carolyn Fisher

Opening (Peter Davidson): I think it's pretty self-explanatory. Two members of the strategic planning committee are members of Council. Is anyone interested?

Mike Bodley: I nominate Matt Lawson.

Andrew Crawford: What is the time commitment for this committee?

Peter Davidson: I believe that there will be a meeting every two weeks, for approximately one or two hours.

Alex Savides: When I was on the committee, we spent a few hours every couple of weeks. It's not very long, and you get to learn a lot with some really awesome people.

Matt Slavin: Keep in mind, that a big priority for this committee of this to see the challenges that the Faculty of Engineering will face in 5 years, and start addressing them now as opposed to later.

Nick Hetherington: Is there any more debate on this issue?

Motion Passes: 8:24 (28 for, 1 opposed, 2 abstentions)

## **V. Break - Time: 8:25**

Break Ends: 8:30

## **VI. Executive Reports**

### **i) President**

Carolyn Fisher: A few things that are new in my portfolio. We now have a new OC and a new Chief FREC! Yay! Just a heads up, Logistics Coordinator and FC applications are coming out soon. Also, next weekend is Homecoming. Please be smart and safe. For the sake of present and future students, please make smart decisions. And on the note of keeping it real, I would like to ask all of the Dons to please stand and lead us in the Engineering Hymn.

### **ii) VP (Student Affairs)**

Alex Savides: Hello! There wasn't a whole bunch that has been going on in my update. The most important thing is that we may have some money freed up in our budget, as we have already talked with the director of IT. In addition, next week I will not be in Kingston, so if you need to contact me please refer to my email for any and all inquiries. That's about it.

### **iii) VP (Operations)**

Peter Davidson: If you read my report, there was lots of rocking in my portfolio, because all my directors rock! Specifically, thank you to everyone that showed up at the hiring town hall yesterday. I've also been spending a lot of time working with Erin on the budget that was presented today. Also, two people emailed me budget questions, and I will be buying them council candy.

## **VII. Director Reports**

### **i) Academics**

Sam Anderson: EngLinks just hired a manager team, which is super exciting. We are also in the process of hiring staff right now. So we will be able to help a lot of FROSH with their midterms really soon. I apologize for being late, we had an extended academic caucus meeting with the AMS,

which was not that exciting. There is a new opt-out fee option for labs in place now, so if you have any questions about that there is a nice flow chart that I can send you that can help out. I've also been taking extra time to go through this report, because I want to make sure that students are not paying for services that they are not using often.

## **ii) Communications**

Stephen Penstone: I have hired all of the communications team. It took four days, so I'm a bit tired. We have everything in place now, so if you know of a group that needs resources such as videos, photography, or graphic design, fire me an email. I have a group of people that are eager to help now, so let's put them to good use. We sent a team to Fix and Clean, which was a good time for everyone. We are also looking into creating an academic grievance video, to make sure that students are aware of their rights.

## **iii) Conferences**

Michelle McKay: Lots has been going on. All of the first year positions that were available have been hired, so that's good. We have also had all of the conference chairs and sponsorship reps go to a workshop, so that was very helpful. We have also elected Matt Slavin as the head of the External Conference Committee, to decide where we are going to be sending people. And thanks to everyone for passing the budget!

## **iv) Events**

Mike Wetton: We have been doing a lot of hiring lately, so that's been keeping me busy. I've also been working closely with the Sci' Formal Committee planning the Deans Wine and Cheese, so we'll be ordering a lot of both of those things. Also, boat cruise is going to be coming up soon. SO that'll be fun, you should all come out.

## **v) Design**

Alex Shieck: I've been having a lot of meetings with the Dean. I've also been meeting with the safety officer of every discipline, so that has been very helpful. We are also going to be making a lot of safety forms, to make sure that people are being kept accountable. I have also been meeting with the heads of all the design teams to make sure they are all on track.

## **vi) Finance**

Erin Murphy: So the budget has been approved, and I am very thankful. I'm still working on actuals, but that's going to be an ongoing process. Just playing with money.

## **vii) First Year**

Ryan Cattryse: I'd like to apologize for being late, I had a midterm. We had our first meeting for FYC, so that's been fun. They are all really cool people, so I'm excited to work with them. We have also hired all of the FYPCOS. Chief FREC is hired, and FC applications are coming out soon, so keep an eye out for that.

## **viii) Human Resources**

Alex Wood: Staff chats are up and running. Tea Room did a great job and getting most of their responses up in the first 24 hours. Most importantly, we had a great hiring town hall yesterday. It was a huge success. I got a lot of information in terms of what people want to see in hiring changes, and I now have a FYPCO so we'll be getting down to work now.

#### **ix) Information Technology**

Eric McElroy: I now have team managers and FYPCO's, which is great because I have a lot of projects for them. Also, if you have any projects for me that you want me to work on, fire me and email, and we'll get on it. The team is great, and I'm excited to get some work done. Additionally, we will soon all have an online cloud with our emails, which is amazing because we will all have one terabyte of space to save things, which is awesome. The libraries are also moving to a new printing system, which is very exciting because the current one is absolutely horrible. The faculty of law is currently piloting it, and it will soon be coming to Stauffer. We may also soon have all of our email lists with the university, which will make our lives a lot easier.

#### **x) Internal Affairs**

Eleanor McAuley: Thank you so much for passing the budget so quickly today. I have also booked a revue for the banquet, which is a big deal. I also now have two wonderful FYPCO's which I am very happy about. They are amazing, and here today. Also, the clickers are still acting up a bit, and if you are still having problems with them please tell me and we will sort it out.

#### **xi) Professional Development**

Kristy Tu: We also had another interview workshop, which was a great success. We also had a cover letter workshop which was a great success. And now that the budget is passed, I can now order snack for them. We also have an AutoCAD workshop coming up at the end of October, so if you are interested please sign up. There won't be another one for a few months. In terms of Homecoming, if you are interested in meeting alumni, talk to me and I'll book a time for you to show up to the EngSoc booth in Grant. And I have three FYPCO's, and I'm excited to work with them.

#### **xii) Services**

Michael Bodley: Some quick updates, September was incredibly hectic. Service night was a good time. We have a lot of people come out from the Commerce Society and ASUS. Jacket Fitting happened. A big shout out to the Sci' 18 year exec. We ran a very smooth jacket fitting. We also had a head manager round table, and spent some time talking about the hiring policy. Finally, I now have a FYPCO for the first time, which is great.

### **VIII. Question Period**

Matt Slavin: What is the timeline for the Committee of Inclusivity?

Alex Savides: In terms of the Committee of Inclusivity, I will be bringing a motion to next council. We will have a first meeting to establish a chair, and then move to members after.

Nick Hetherington: Are there any more questions from Council?

Matt Slavin: For the ERB hiring period, it is mandated that we invite both the Rector and the Dean of Engineering. Have these invitations been sent out, and or declined?

Carolyn Fisher: They have been sent out, but I do not believe we have had a reply.

#### **IX. Faculty Board Report**

Stephanie Reed: There has been no faculty boards, so there's nothing to report.

#### **X. Alma Mater Society Report**

Jerry Haron: We had a meeting with the AMS, and had a presentation from OUSA. It was not very exciting. We also passed the budget. We also talked about some awards and the changes about them. It was also really hot, so we ended at 9:30, which was an aggressively early time for the AMS.

#### **XI. Senate Report**

Emily Townshend: Senate met on the 30<sup>th</sup>. The motions passed without debate. We approved new chairs in the faculty of health and sciences. Specific information that you may be interested in, there was a lot of debate regarding the Commerce FROSH week. For SOARB, we put Commerce on probation, and have not heard from them since. SOARB will also be including best practices and praise in their final report. If you want me to review your application for SOARB before you submit it, please fire me an email. I'm more than happy to go over it with you.

#### **XII. Engineering Review Board**

Alex Wood: They are hiring the new ERB committee now, so that's about all I can say.

#### **XIII. Board of Directors Report**

Matt Slavin: Board of Directors had a meeting this past Monday. We are still very much in the planning phase. Nothing to report so far.

#### **XIV. Club Reports**

##### **i. Engineering Physics**

Nikhil Seth: We had a movie night which was pretty poorly attended. We are also putting together a merch catalogue, and organizing a graduate student speaker series.

##### **ii. Electrical and Computer**

Liam Gordon: We are getting bro tanks, which are going to be hilarious. We are also organizing a lunch with pros, and Clark night, and our foosball tournament is underway.

##### **iii. Mechanical**

Kaley Shepard: We are not too busy right now. We have beers with Profs coming up, and organizing the Sci' Formal wine and cheese right now. Also we want to order some new merch.

#### **iv. Mining**

Chris Russell: We organized a lot of intramural teams, and have submitted all the applications for the mining games.

### **XV. Year Reports**

#### **i. Sci' 15**

Matt Slavin: We had a meeting yesterday. It was pretty productive. We are focusing on planning events that do not cost anything, but can potentially bring in profits. Such as Sci' 15 takes over games night. And finally, we are looking into booking the Grizzly Grill for the Iron Ring after Party, which will be lots of fun.

#### **ii. Sci' 16**

Julie Tseng: We talked a bit more about logistics for super semi. We are also having a plan to have a special event at Clark, with Sci' 16 discounts. Also, we started a hockey pool, and have already had 20 people sign up. And our squash ladder is going well too.

#### **iii. Sci' 17**

Curtis Lindsey: We also had a meeting yesterday. The biggest thing on our agenda now is a semi-formal with the nurses. And we are also thinking of organizing some sort of charitable program

#### **iv. Sci' 18**

Callen Hageman: We have mostly been still trying to figure things out. And we are planning on trying to break a world record in dodgeball.

### **XVI. Statements and Questions by Members**

Alex Wood: They are down to their last 15 Evolution shirts!

Alex Shieck: Is the issue of expensive mandatory textbook being addressed by anyone?

Sam Anderson: That is something I am looking into. The professors try their best to reuse textbooks from year to year. And that is why there is a textbook library from year to year.

Matt Slavin: As for the external communications committee, we had our first meeting last week. We have a good amount of people that are passionate about this, so if you have any issues that you would like for us to go over, so please fire me an email. We are also looking to adding more first year to this committee.

Andrew Crawford: I just want to remind everyone that Commerce is on Probation of their FORSH week, so please be respectful of that. So please be respectful of that. It would be devastating for incoming students to not have a FROSH week, so let's be supportive of them.

Jacqueline Craig: I was wondering if fees from courses for past years will be reimbursed.

Sam Anderson: From what I understand I don't think they will be. There is a special policy with regards to access codes, and that is something that each university has to deal with individually.

Alex Shieck: I just want to say that because Homecoming is coming, the ILC will be on lockdown. We will be organizing a big demonstration for the design teams and the alumni. Also, have we decided who Bodley's friend is?

Ryan Cattryse: I believe I am partially Bodley's friend.

Stephen Penstone: Also, how come no one else applied for Mike Bodley's friend? I'm disappointed.

**Motion to Close: 9:37**

Moved by: Peter Davidson

Seconded by: Carolyn Fisher

Motion Passes: Time (28 for, 4 opposed, 0 abstentions)

Attendance

Position	Name	October 9th
President	Carolyn Fisher	Present
VPSA	Alex Savides	Present
VPOps	Peter Davidson	Present
Director of Events	Mike Wetton	Present
Director of Finance	Erin Murphy	Present
Director of HR	Alex Wood	Present
Director of IT	Eric McElroy	Present
Director of IA	Eleanor McAuley	Present
Director of Comm	Stephen Penstone	Present
Director of Design	Alex Shieck	Present
Director of Academics	Sam Anderson	Present
Director of First Year	Ryan Cattryse	Present
Director of Services	Mike Bodley	Present
Director of Conferences	Michelle McKay	Present
Director of PD	Kristy Tu	Present

Junior Senator	Alexander Cavaliere	Present
Senior Senator	Emily Townshend	Present
Sci 18 Pres	Callen Hageman	Present
Sci 18 VP	Avery Cole	Present
Sci 18 AMS	Chloe Harkness	Present
Sci 18 FUBAR	Loralyn Blondin	Present
Sci 18 Section Rep	Tyler Snook	Present
Sci 18 Section Rep	Jamil Pirani	Present
Sci 18 Section Rep	Kyrsta Peralto	Present
Sci 18 J-Section Rep	N/A	
Sci 17 Pres	Curtis Lindsey	Present
Sci 17 VP	Nichola Trinh	Proxy (Marc Fleck)
Sci 17 AMS	Jerry Haron	Present
Sci 17 FUBAR	James Gibbard-McCall	Proxy (Sam Grant)
Sci 16 Pres	Stephen Martin	Present (left early and proxied to Alex Wood)
Sci 16 VP	Julie Tseng	Present
Sci 16 AMS	Peter Liberty	Present
Sci 16 FUBAR	Matthew Lawson	Present
Sci 15 Pres	Matt Slavin	Present
Sci 15 VP	Andrew Crawford	Present
Sci 15 AMS	Dylan Braam	Present
Sci 15 FUBAR	Stephanie Reed	Present
ECE Rep	Liam Gordan	Present
Chem Rep	John Chernoff	Present
Eng Chem Rep	Chris Angelatos	Absent
Eng Phys Rep	Nikhil Seth	Present
Mech Rrep	Kaley Sheppard	Present
Geo Rep	Frank Hung	Present
Mining Rep	Chris Rusnell	Present
Civil Rep	Stephanie Carswell	Present
Apple Rep	Jacqueline Craig	Present
Secretary	Oleg Baranov	Present
ERB	Alexander Ray	Absent
Con. Guru	Michael McLaren	Present
Con. Guru	Madeline Poirier	Absent
General Manager	Jay Young	Present
Speaker	Nick Hetherington	Present
Board of Directors	Morgan Roff	Absent