**Engineering Society Council Minutes**

Engineering Society of Queen’s University

Thursday, October 15th, 2015

Dunning Hall, Room 27

Speaker: Ryan Cattrysse

  Secretary: Lianne Zelsman

*Council begins: 6:34 pm*

## I. Adoption of the Agenda:

### Motion 1

Whereas: We have lots of important things to cover;

& Whereas: It’s important to know what these important things are;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Council approve the agenda of the Council meeting of Thursday, October 15th 2015 as seen on the Engineering Society website.

Moved by: Julianna Jeans

Seconded by: Ryan Cattrysse

Opening (Ryan Cattrysse): Julianna Jeans is currently unavailable. If you want to change anything, now is the time to tell us. The clickers are not working yet.

Andrew Crawford: One small change. In the Hiring Transition appendix, it crosses out the whole line “If the Final Transition Report is not complete and submitted by the specified date, the member may not receive any honoraria or final paycheck until it is completed and submitted.” Only a small portion of this line should be crossed out. I will elaborate later on in the council.

**Motion Passes: 6:37 pm (24, 0, 0)**

## II. Adoption of the Minutes:

### Motion 2

Whereas: Lots of important things happened;

& Whereas: It’s important to remember these important things;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Council approve the minutes of the Council meeting of Thursday, October 1st 2015 as seen on the Engineering Society website.

Moved by: Lianne Zelsman

Seconded by: Julianna Jeans

Opening (Lianne Zelsman): Let me know if you want anything changed.

**Motion Passes: 6:37 pm (24, 0, 0)**

Let it be noted that Stephan Dobri abstained.

## III. Attendance

Ryan Cattrysse: As we do not have clickers working yet, we will be taking attendance with the book.

*Quorum is checked. The council is at quorum.*

## IV. Speaker’s Business

Ryan Cattrysse: Julianna Jeans is not here, she is writing a quiz, but will be here momentarily. Thank you Julie Tseng for filling in for her. Please do not use acronyms. If you do, define them. When you speak, stand and state your name and position. The back of your placard explains the correct procedures for executing a Point of Information, Point of Personal Privilege or a Direct Response.

## V. Presentations

### i. CFES Presidents Meeting

Julie Tseng: From September 25th – 27th I was in Deep Cover North Vancouver British Columbia for the Canadian Federation of Engineering Students (CFES) meeting. I met many different universities, each of whom sent their Vice President (VP) Externals or Presidents to the meeting. It was retreat style. Unlike the Annual General Meeting (AGM) which happens at Congress, the purpose of this meeting was to focus on the organization and the work it had done. We received lots of updates on the officers, their process on completing work plan deliverables, the organization’s goals—such as where their finances are at—and how they plan to be sustainable and provide services to member schools. The current leadership includes: the President, VP Finance and Administration and the VP Services who oversees all of the Activity Managers. There are also Commissioners under each of the Executive. These include the Commissioners of Academics, Corporate Relations, Leadership, Administration, Languages, and Media and Marketing. They have to two National Councillors that sit on the Board and four National Ambassadors (Atlantic, West, Ontario and Quebec). Their current state of finances is not good. They went about $13,000 over budget on Congress 2015. The 2015-2016 budget has a $16,000 planned deficit. They found that getting all of their documents translated is very expensive, while most of their profit comes from the amount schools pay for membership. That being said, the organization has shown improvement. Things that are getting better include their vision of the organization, the evaluation of travel expenses, their first adjustment of the membership fee since 2006, and their investigation on sustainability. Highlights of the trip include: the development of a small school travel fund, the focus on academic advocacy and meeting other Engineering Society (EngSoc) representatives. An annoyance was getting asked about the grease pole all of the time.

*A 15 minute cap is placed on the question period.*

James Gibbard-McCall: Can you run through the last couple slides that you skipped?

Julie Tseng: The following were some of my parting questions. Since we secured funding from the faculty to cover travel expenses, was going across the country worth all of the money and time? Are member schools doing their duty by participating and giving input? Or are we taking advantage of the services the organization is giving us? How do we affect long term change? One thing that surprised me was that our financial practices are much better handled than CFES. We also do a better job at training members during transitioning. The following are some of the observations I made. Many of the schools had young, second year VP Externals. This might have had a correlation with how the tone of the conference ran. Many of the schools present also had much younger societies. Some of them did not have the same form of mandatory student fee that Queen’s has that ensures we start off the year with a substantial amount of money. I think CFES benefits from having some old leadership present, with the University of Toronto (U of T) and us rejoining, as well as having Waterloo always having interest. It would be interesting to see a member from one of these older schools become an executive for the organization. However this is difficult to ask of anyone as it is such a large commitment. I also realized that there is a large spectrum of engineering student mentalities. By this I mean that it goes from people who are very into the “engineering culture” to people who you would never expect to be in engineering. This is reflected everywhere, even right in this room and within our school, but especially among the people I met at the conference.

Sam Anderson: What are some of the specific services provided by CFES that could benefit our engineering society?

Julie Tseng: They define their services mainly by the events they run. But I consider academic advocacy another service. They are trying to get a student voter on the Canadian Accreditation Board, though they are struggling due to confidentiality issues. It is difficult to get a student there for yearlong periods as many Board discussions might last longer than one year. However the Academic Commissioner has leveraged a survey to garner feedback from students on their engineering experience. This could show them how the organization can benefit from them. This will hopefully push them to get a student on the board, as this is the first year that they are getting a VP Academics elected. If you want to go into consulting there are great opportunities with some of the other conferences. The Conference on Diversity and Engineering provides great professional development for individual students, but not for schools as a whole. I find that many of the things that CFES defines as “services” are more personal development based.

Andrew Crawford: Was there anything you have learned from CFES so far that you think would surprise council?

Julie Tseng: We are the only one with a standing pub. Any other university that says they have pub actually just gets a liquor license for a night and holds the event in a random location. It is a benefit of us being old that we have Clark. In terms of our student fee, many other schools were interested to see how we operate our finances and were shocked by how elaborate our budget is.

Jacqueline Craig: What affect does the $16,000 of deficit have on us?

Julie Tseng: I would point out that we are a member school that has contributed money that has helped make up for that deficit. So it is like if you were to sit in council, and we would come along and say that “we are going to go over budget by this much.” Would you get angry as much of the budget comes from student dollars? In terms of any tangible effect, I am not really sure.

Sam Anderson: With respect to departmental clubs, I know some engineering societies are more “umbrella” student governments, much like our Alma Mater Society (AMS) which encompasses all of the individual student societies. I think developing our Discipline Club is an important development for us. Did you see any other schools that had individual governments for specific disciplines within their faculty?

Julie Tseng: Concordia has a very strong computing society. But since many other schools had such small societies, having separate discipline societies underneath them was unlikely.

Matthew Lawson: What was the overall atmosphere of the conference? I know they wanted to make it more accessible.

Julie Tseng: When I first arrived we received a presentation right away on inclusivity, which involved general things that addressed the natural of the conferences. That was paired with a behavioural agreement that we all needed to sign. Something we discussed over the weekend is delegate expulsion. It did not extend from a specific incident, it just was because of confusion arising over whether the chair of a conference has the authority to tell a delegate to leave. CFES has made many strides in making changes and I really saw an improvement. People were very attentive. The environment was good.

Stewart Jensen: Was there anything you learned that you want to implement this year?

Julie Tseng: I got very excited when there were questions about how we do things. In most of the discussion I had, I did not hear anything completely new. There were not many areas we had not already explored in some way. That being said, Waterloo has a dedicated First-Year office that students can go to for help, though I believe it is partially funded by the co-op programs. It acts as a place where first years can drop by at any time to ask for help. Given how difficult our first year program can be, it would be an interesting thing for our faculty and EngLinks to look into.

Andrew Crawford: Going back to the deficit, in reality it will not have much of an effect on us. It is more an indicator as to what it means regarding the organization. It goes back to them looking into changing their financial policies. You cannot really take anything away from a surplus or deficit in a single year.

Matthew Lawson: You said many services they offer are the conferences. I know they bid for conferences at the AGM. Are you doing anything to work on getting Queen’s to have a bid for these?

Julie Tseng: This year the delegates we are sending are myself, Brett Crowley and Loralyn Blondin. There have not been any discussions internally to look into biding. I am more interested to see if we can get someone to go as VP Academic. I understand some conferences have a problem getting schools to bid, given the problems Congress 2015 had. I am wary about how they transition year to year, as there is not really any transitioning process from congress to congress. That is why we are not looking into biding right now.

*Julianna Jeans arrives.*

## VI. New Business: Motions 3-8

### Motion 3

Whereas: Changes to By-Law require two readings;

& Whereas: This is the second reading of those changes;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Council approve the changes to By-Law, as seen in APPENDIX “SHUFFLE”.

Moved by: Julie Tseng

Seconded by: Julianna Jeans

Opening (Julie Tseng): What Alex Wood had sent out last time was incorrect and he apologizes. There is now a summary page that outlines what each of the changes are. I believe the Engineering Review Board (ERB) has reviewed them.

Alexander Rey: Can we have laptops out?

Ryan Cattrysse: Yes.

Alex Doig: ERB and the Constitutional Gurus have looked over the changes, they look good. One thing that was a bit confusing was the end of By-Law 10 – Society Supported Initiatives. There were two versions of the document, one of which included reference to Policy in terms of the conference aims. Please just note that difference.

Julie Tseng: You are correct, Alex has already emailed Julianna Jeans about the changes.

*Clicker technology is now working.*

**Motion Passes: 7:06 pm (23, 0, 1)**

Let it be noted that Stephan Dobri and Alexander Cavaliere abstained.

*Attendance is retaken now that clicker technology is active.*

### Motion 4:

Whereas: We have Policy changes to go along with our By-Law changes;

& Whereas: Here they are!

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Council approve the changes to Policy as seen in APPENDIX “SHUFFLE”.

Moved by: Julie Tseng

Seconded by: Julianna Jeans

Opening (Julie Tseng): Same as before. Sadly Alex Wood cannot be here as he is on a geology trip.

Alex Doig: Myself and the Constitutional Gurus have also looked this over. One thing we wanted to clarify is whether the Director of Events liaisons with the Sci-Formal committee or not?

Jerry Haron: We do for the Dean’s Wine and Cheese, but that is the only time.

**Motion Passes: 7:08 pm (23, 0, 1)**

Let it be noted that Alexander Cavaliere and Stephan Dobri abstained.

### Motion 5:

Whereas: The Better Education Donation (BED) Fund Coordinators have taken a more active role;

& Whereas: There should be a procedural way to fund initiatives similar to the TV’s last week;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Council approve the changes to Policy Section 𝜄: Academics as seen in APPENDIX “GET A BEDROOM”.

Moved by: Jacqueline Craig

Seconded by: Jamie-Lee Freeston

Opening (Jacqueline Craig): We were looking into By-Law and Policy in order to determine what changes would reflect what is best for the society. We read bylaw and it says that 3/4s of the funds should go to disciplines and First-Years based on opt-out rate and that 1/4 of funds will benefit all engineers. In the past 100% of funds were given based on opt-out rate. This year we are using this method to make sure that we can still do cool things like get the Integrated Learning Centre (ILC) TVs that benefit all engineers. If disciplines want to get more than their 3/4s, they can propose additional ideas. I have a few changes based on the review from ERB. I am proposing an amendment to the Policy. First, move A.6.6 above A.6.3. Second, there are three parts where it says “as outlined in Part IV”. I originally highlighted those, but we figured that it is a problem for another day, so we are going to leave them as such. In A.5.2 it says “discipline” where it should say “discipline/First-Year”. That is all.

Erin Murphy: Could you clarify what the second change was?

Jacqueline Craig: I changed A.6.6 to be above A.6.3. In A.5.2 it should say “discipline/First-Year”. In A.6.5 and potentially a few other places, it references “Part IV”. This was there originally and we have decided to leave it.

Matthew Lawson: It says that the BED Interest Account used to pay for the plaques, but that is no longer the case. Now that it is not being used for plaques, where is the money in this account going to go?

Jacqueline Craig: The idea for the money in the BED Interest Account is that it will eventually generate enough interest so that people no longer need to opt-in. It is not in the spirit of the BED Fund to fund purchases like this, as the plaques do not actually teach anything or better the education of anyone.

*Sam Grant returns.*

Avery Cole: In the appendix it is listed as the Better Equipment Donation Fund, but in Policy it says Better Education Donation Fund.

Jacqueline Craig: That must have been a clerical error. When it was changed to the Better Education Donation Fund, it should have been in both By-Law and Policy.

Avery Cole: Do we need to pass that twice to make it a change in By-Law?

Jacqueline Craig: I am not sure. The change happened long before my time.

Ryan Cattrysse: We have documents calling it the proper name, so we are going to say that if it is in the spirit of the motion, we are just going to go ahead and make those changes.

Jacqueline Craig: I thought there would be more questions. I think this will make a better BED Fund. Proposals will now go through more smoothly. I will discuss this more in my report later. Thank you for your support.

**Motion Passes: 7:18 pm (24, 0, 0)**

Let it be noted that Stephan Dobri abstained.

### Motion 6:

Whereas: Withholding paychecks is illegal;

& Whereas: Our policy states that we shall withhold final paychecks;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Council approve the changes to Policy Section γ: Hiring and Transition, as seen in APPENDIX “IT’S THE LAW”.

Moved by: Andrew Crawford

Seconded by: Alexander Rey

Opening (Andrew Crawford): This is simply a change to make sure we are following the law. It is not a practice we have actually done in a while. According to Jay Young this was something passed long ago, but since it is too hard to find, this is really just a house keeping change.

Avery Cole: I believe you said there was a change that was crossed out that was not supposed to be?

Andrew Crawford: In the original appendix in E.3.4 it crossed out the entire line “If the Final Transition Report is not complete and submitted by the specified date, the member may not receive any honoraria or final paycheck until it is completed and submitted.” Only the three words “…or final paycheck” should be crossed out as it is not illegal to withhold honoraria.

**Motion Passes: 7:20 pm (24, 0, 0)**

Let it be noted that Stephan Dobri abstained.

### Motion 7:

Whereas: The Society does not follow the fiscal year described in Policy;

& Whereas: Our policies should align with operations, and vice-versa;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Council approve the changes to Policy Section ϴ: Financial Policies, as seen in APPENDIX “WAKE ME UP WHEN SEPTEMBER BEGINS’.

Moved by: Andrew Crawford

Seconded by: Jane Ferguson

Opening (Andrew Crawford): This is just another thing to make sure we are following Policy. It should say in Policy that we have that fiscal year.

**Motion Passes: 7:21 pm (24, 0, 0)**

### Motion 8:

Whereas: The events are consistently growing and expanding year by year;

& Whereas: We need more coordinators, assistants and committees to handle the increased workload of planning and running our events;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Council approve the changes to Policy as seen in APPENDIX “EVENTFULL CHANGES”.

Moved by: Jerry Haron

Seconded by: Alex Wood

Opening (Jerry Haron): Following our two very successful events, the Terry Fox Run and Fix N’ Clean, the feedback from coordinators was that they had lots of work to do leading up to events and during the events. This was very stressful for them. I was there to help, but I am only one guy. A solution to this would be to hire more co-coordinators for Terry Fox and Fix N’ Clean. We are adding an “s” to the end of the lines in Policy to indicate that more than one coordinator or chair may be hired. We also decided to add another Movember chair which was not originally in Policy.

Emily Townshend: If we do not pass this, do we have to fire the people you have already hired for these positions?

Jerry Haron: I did not really read the policy, so I am not too sure.

Alexander Rey: Nowhere in policy does it say that we cannot hire people for volunteer roles. So we most likely will not have to fire them.

**Motion Passes: 7:24 pm (24, 0, 0)**

Let it be noted that Stephan Dobri abstains.

*Taylor Sweet leaves. Meara Hampton will deliver his report.*

*Nichola Trinh leaves and proxies vote to Laura Penstone.*

## VII. Break

*No break taken.*

## VIII. Executive Reports

### i) President

Julie Tseng: I hope everyone had a good thanksgiving. Congratulations to Pippa [Gouinlock](https://www.facebook.com/pippa.gouinlock?fref=nf) our new Orientation Chair and Brent Fallis our new Sci-Formal Convener. They are already very busy, so please wish them luck. I have nothing to add.

### ii) VP (Operations)

Andrew Crawford: There is a lot in my report. Please give it a read through. I will be saying this in every single council, we have signed an agreement with the AMS. If you have an event, you need to come talk to us to make sure that the proper logistics are in place to ensure that you are not personally liable to anything that may happen.

## IX. Director Reports

### i) Academics

Jacqueline Craig: We had our first BED Fund meeting yesterday. It went well. We explained the vision of what is to come. We ended the meeting short and watched the baseball game. The BED Fund policy was passed, so this allows us to do many cool things. Look out in the near future for something exciting. First years wrote their English Proficiency Test. EngLink workshops have started. EngLink’s 1-on-1 tutoring is working out well, so if you are looking for any tutors please visit our website. There are many available for upper year courses. The Engineering Academic Caucus is coming soon. If you have not added your discipline to the 2015-2016 group please do so, or ask me for help. The AMS also just released a statement twenty minutes ago saying that Read Leask, the Academic Affairs Commissioner, has resigned.

### ii) Communications

Laura Penstone: We now have our team. We made our first video through the videography team. Props to Matt Whittle who is the manager. We are now going into full advertising mode for our services. I was on the Sci’19 Facebook page and I saw someone asking for help with graphics. I posted a link to the Communication Team website. Turns out they just wanted help with APSC 161.

### iii) Conferences

Loralyn Blondin: Right now all of the conferences are working hard to get ready. Moving forward, I am very excited to get a First Year Project Manager (FYPCO).

### iv) Design

Stephan Dobri: Good evening. Contrary to my report, I did not meet with either the clubs or teams. We are going to meet next week instead. I did however have an interesting meeting regarding a cool project. What was originally a Commerce Entrepreneur Team thing is now open to anyone. They are trying to build an electrical bike for a person in a wheel chair. It is cool. They are looking for engineers to work on it.

### v) Events

Jerry Haron: Hi. A lot of things have happened. Fix N’ Clean happened, it was amazing. A big thank you to the drivers. It went well, we helped over forty community members and over one hundred and forty students came out. The EngVents, External Relations and Movember committees have all been hired. This week we are hiring more positions. If you are going to the Dean’s Wine and Cheese, I have sent you an invite.

### vi) Finance

*Did not submit a report.*

### vii) First Year

Evan Dressel: I hired the First Year Conference Committee with Alex Cavaliere. We are very excited. We have been promoting first year job opportunities. The painting of the Sci’19 Year Crest happened. Julianna Jeans, Emily Varga and I are hiring FYPCOs.

### viii) Human Resources

*Did not submit a report.*

### ix) Information Technology

Richard Hum: A couple things. We are still working on the new servers. I went to the Information Services and Technology Student Advisory Committee (ISAT-SAC) meeting. We give them student feedback on the stuff that they are doing. They are currently working on micro-cells for the ILC, as it lacks good cell coverage. As well if you experience any Wi-Fi issues in the lecture halls, please report them. I am hiring the IT team this weekend.

### x) Internal Affairs

Julianna Jeans: Sorry I was late tonight. Jamil Pirani and I are hiring Deputy Returning Officers soon, so let people who are interested know. The EngSoc banquet is set for March 12th. It is at the Delta again. Next council is Halloween Council and there will be a costume contest. I am excited to get a FYPCO soon.

### xi) Professional Development

James Gibbard-McCall: Everything is mostly in my report. We ran the Proctor and Gamble workshop last night. The Engineering and Technology Fair is coming up next week. We are also running a workshop for prep purposes next week.

### xii) Services

Stewart Jensen: We did jacket sales at Campus Equipment Outfitters and sold a record number of jackets. Shout out to the Sci’19 Year Exec for doing a great job. After no luck with the Physical Plant Services, myself and Andrew Crawford cleaned Clark by ourselves. We are hiring the Science Quest Director. The application is due tomorrow at 6 pm. Anyone who is into STEM—science, technology, engineering and mathematics—or education should apply. Over the weekend we worked on long-range planning.

## X. Question Period

Alexander Cavaliere: Andrew Crawford, in term of the AMS event sanctioning form, does it apply to things that are under EngSoc Policy? Will the process change for events ratified under EngSoc Policy or is it the same for everyone?

Andrew Crawford: The form is based on the logistics of the event, so every event needs to go through this process, with the exception of Orientation Week as it goes through a separate process.

Alexander Rey: We now have Survey Gizmo up and running. We are now using the European servers.

Sam Anderson: Andrew Crawford, you mentioned you wanted to be reminded to update the council on unbudgeted expenses.

Andrew Crawford: The month end is not complete yet, so I do not have a full report.

Stephen Martin: In Alex Wood’s report he discussed the four part workshop he ran for the Directors on mental health, anti-oppression, conflict resolution, and positive space training. I was just wondering if there will be any chance for this kind of thing to be opened up so that anyone can sign up and go. It would be very useful.

Julie Tseng: I think it would be a great idea if more people had this kind of training. We could have a general training session and then allow people to invite any others that are interested.

Callen Hageman: Why did we move to the European service? Can we get an update on Survey Gizmo?

Alexander Rey: Queen’s University policy does not allow us to have any data storage in the States, since the government could perform a search. The only difference now is that we get to use an EU login. If anyone wants to run a survey, send an email to Human Resources. Right now I am handing out logins. It is very user friendly.

Alexander Cavaliere: This question is for the Director of Events. You said that there were one hundred and forty students that helped out forty residents. Why did we have such a large amount of students in comparison to the amount of community members?

Jerry Haron: It was mostly frosh groups that attended and they all wanted to go together. Many projects were large, such as painting walls, so they required large groups of people. In the future, with an extra coordinator, we can hopefully get more community members to improve the ratio.

Stephen Martin: Does the Director of Finance have any updates she would like to share?

Jane Ferguson: I have finance training going on.

## XI. Faculty Board Report

Tyler Snook: It was a short meeting.

Matt Whittle: The degree title change was discussed again. People were on board with changing from a Bachelor of Science to a Bachelor of Applied Science. This would bring us in line with our Master’s Degree designation and with other universities. The Dean should be bringing a motion to the next meeting. If you graduate as a science major, the diploma is almost identical to the diploma of an engineering graduate. The only difference would be a tiny line that says “mechanical engineering”, which is why we want to distinguish it more. Queen’s is also looking into two new degree programs. The first is a Masters of Earth Energy research program. The school has identified a need for geologists, based on the number of people looking for a Master’s Degree in order to get jobs. This program will be targeted for industry needs. Although it is mostly directed towards the Arts and Science department, they wanted some support from geology. The second is an engineering PhD program. The current interest in engineering PhD programs is very low. The school is trying to design a new program that is three years instead of four and targeted towards industry needs in order to develop more interest in the program. Important points from the Dean’s report included the Queen’s professor who recently won the Nobel Prize and the fact that the Dean is a Blue Jays fan.

## XII. Alma Mater Society Report

Julie Tseng: We had a relatively short AMS assembly meeting. We had a presentation from the Ontario University Students’ Alliance (OUSA). Every Queen’s student pays $2.95 towards OUSA, so the amount we give to them is very large. They are an external alignment group which has a mandate to lobby for university priorities. They do annual tours to member universities to share what their priorities for the year are. They did bring up some interesting points. For examples, this year is the first time they are reviewing the university budget model formula since it was originally written sixty years ago by John Deutsch. Right now it is very student body based for funding. Ideally we should be funded by our achievements. For example U of T is a highly research intensive school, so it should be funded based on achievements in that area. Some topics that were discussed were as follows. Is the AGM for the AMS an appropriate time for student groups to ratify student activity fees? Should these fees be used to donate directly to charity? Should conferences be allowed to have a student fee? This would mean that they would not only be getting student fee money but also delegate money and corporate sponsorship. We also discussed the goals and plans of each commissioner for the year.

Sam Anderson: Discussion on student fee allocation was brought up. Did you mention that in your report?

Julie Tseng: The student fee allocation was broken up into three points: should AGM be an avenue to ratify them? Should charities have a student fee? Should conferences have a student fee?

## XIII. Senate Report

Emily Townshend: Senate has not met. The new academic discipline system is in place, so do not get in trouble. There are currently two vacancies on the Senate Orientation Activities Review Board (SOARB). Applications are due October 27th.

## XIV. Engineering Review Board Report

Alex Doig: There is nothing really to report. Applications are now up, spread the word. If you want any Policy reviewed, send it to me.

## XV. Advisory Board Report

Bailey Piggot: The Board has not met. We have a meeting next week. The committees are working on capital planning and long-range policy.

## XVI. Club Reports (Happy Group)

### i) Eng Phys

Meara Hampton: We have a Clark night tonight. We are also doing our merchandise. We are having a contest for design submissions. We will be giving out candy on Halloween. We also have a graduate student speaker series coming up.

### ii) ECE

Sarah Taylor: We had a meeting with the working group for Undergraduate Relations, to talk to staff about academic issues. We are planning a foosball tournament and a Clark Hall night.

### iii) Mechanical

Matthew Marcoccia: We are having an academic town hall meeting tonight.

### iv) Mining

Sam Grant: We have our competition teams off and running. Our second years had a successful trip to their first mine. Merchandise orders are going in this weekend. Our world famous Tier 2 hockey team is happening.

## XVII. Year Reports

### v) Sci’16

Stephen Martin: There is not much to report. We are working on getting the thank you gift program launched. We are looking to get our year involved so that we can get something very cool for you guys to use in the future. We are collecting year book photos and preparing are merchandise catalogue. Sci-Formal is going well, we will be looking for volunteers in the coming weeks. If you want to volunteer on the night of the event send us an email.

### vi) Sci’17

Rigers Rukaj: We are having another Sci’17 night at Clark and ordering new Sci’17 stickers. We are having Sci’17 trivia. The Faculty Board and AMS reports are on the website. We are thinking of having a super-semi, but we want to gauge interest from other years.

### vii) Sci’18

Tyler Snook: We have our Clark takeover tomorrow night. We are planning Year Exec quarter zips. We will be doing another flask sale. The Sci’18 hockey team beat Sci’16.

Stephen Martin: To clarify, we only lost by one. We lost because we have had more time to get fatter and out of shape.

### viii) Sci’19

Evan Dressel: Since the Sci’19s are writing a midterm, I will be representing them for the evening. In the words of Benji Christie: “We are on the right track. We painted the year crest. We are ready for a year of fun.”

*Evan Dressel leads the council to sing the engineering hymn.*

## XVIII. Statements and Questions by Members

Alexander Rey: We are continuing our Human Resources (HR) Yik Yak experiment. If there is ever a question pertaining to HR, I answer it. So far they have all been down voted.

Evan Dressel: How many people are actually asking on Yik Yak about HR?

Alexander Rey: Not many. Many cool applications are coming out. It is not too late to apply for lots of ways to get involved.

Jacqueline Craig: I forgot to mention that we hired our First-Year liaison for EngLinks. I am very excited for the FYPCOs.

Siobhan Powell: A question about the degree name change. I was wondering if someone knows when this will take effect.

Meara Hampton: It has to go back to Faculty Board and then be passed through senate. It depends what they decide in terms of moving forward. It might not take effect until Sci’20.

Matt Whittle: There might be some legal ramifications, as we all came to Queen’s looking for a Bachelor of Science and not a Bachelor of Applied Science.

Emily Townshend: It does not just need to go through senate, but has to go through the relevant sub-committee, work its way up and then all the way back down. It will be a long process.

James Gibbard-McCall: I am looking for feedback. The AutoCAD workshop was going to be in early November, but it turns out we cannot run it until the November 28th weekend. If we do not do it then, it is very unlikely it will be first semester. Would people be willing to commit a full weekend at that time? Would this time work, or should it just be done later on in the year?

Stewart Jensen: Use Survey Gizmo.

Julianna Jeans: I will be sending out an email, but next council will start at 6:30pm as Ryan Cattrysse has midterm and Tyler Snook cannot be here until 6:30pm.

Emily Townshend: If you are planning on using the atrium for any reason, check in with Science Quest first. Please apply to be my new boss (Science Quest Director). Make sure you vote on the 19th if you have not already.

Alexander Rey: Elections Canada is looking for representatives from engineering to help count ballots. It will be Monday night from about around 9-12pm, depending on how long it takes to count ballots.

Stephen Martin: Why do we not allow Directors to give their report if they do not submit one? If the Directors do not submit a report, not allowing them to speak only hurts us all.

Eric McElroy: It is written in Policy that way. Having them submit a report is supposed to be a summary of what they have to say, to make sure they are accountable for the agenda proceedings. They should be submitting a report regardless. Giving them the opportunity to speak is just an added bonus.

Avery Cole: If they do not submit a report, we can have a vote as to whether to let them actually give a report.

Loralyn Blondin: It was thanksgiving. I hope everyone had a good one. Have a good rest of your year.

Laura Penstone: This Saturday the Communication Team is taking LinkedIn profile pictures. We do not provide any fun props.

Jacqueline Craig: The exam schedule has come out. Look at it to see if there are conflicts. If you have any questions, field it my way. I can help direct your questions the right way.

Ryan Cattrysse: I do have a midterm next week. So Tyler Snook will act as deputy speaker next council.

### Motion to Close:

Moved by: Tyler Snook

Seconded by: Stephan Dobri

**Motion Passes: 8:15 pm**