**Engineering Society Council Minutes**

Engineering Society of Queen’s University

Thursday, March 24th, 2016

Dunning Hall, Room 12

Speaker: Avery Cole

   Secretary: Libby Anderson

*Council begins: 6:04 pm*

## I. Attendance

*Attendance taken: 6:04 pm*

## II. Adoption of the Agenda

### Motion 1

Whereas: It’s the last meeting of the year!

& whereas: it’s going to be a long one;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Council approve the agenda of the Council meeting of Thursday, March 24th 2016 as seen on the EngSoc website.

Moved by:  Lianne Zelsman

Seconded by: Avery Cole

Opening (Lianne Zelsman): We are moving the break up to before presentations.

**Motion Passes: 6:05 pm (30,0,0)**

## III. Adoption of the Minutes

### Motion 2

Whereas: Many important things were discussed last week;

& whereas: Allison wrote them all down;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Council approve the minutes of the Council meeting of Thursday, March 10th 2016 as seen on the EngSoc website.

Moved by: Lianne Zelsman

Seconded by: Allison Kondal

Opening (Lianne Zelsman): Allison’s not here. If you want anything changed in the minutes talk to me. Please say your name.

**Motion Passes: 6:06 pm (30,0,0)**

## IV. Speaker’s Business

Avery Cole: It’s going to be a long one so stay focused.

## V. Break

*Council breaks: 6:06 pm*

*Council resumes: 6:10 pm*

## VI. Presentations

### i) Fall Reading Break

Jordan Morelli: I’d like to acknowledge my gratitude to the engineering society. I’m honored. I’ve been teaching for over a decade now and have seen a lot of you guys. This is the first time I’ve had a bad taste in my mouth about a proposal. You are probably aware about the fall reading break proposal. There has been some misinformation surrounding it. This is as good a proposal as we’re going to get. It’s not perfect, but it’s as good as we’re going to get. Things aren’t up and running 100%, but this will really help first years. Other schools have implemented it and it works well. Fall reading week is important. Two misconceptions. Process has ramped up in last 6 months with consulting. For the first 2.5 years we left the consultation process with AMS. It’s not senate’s fault. AMS did make those commitments and didn’t follow through. In November a draft proposal went out and we listened to feedback and changed it. I read a change.org petition today and there were false facts on it. We changed aspects. We are constrained in the fall term. There is only a certain amount of time to fit in study days and orientation week and school days. We are not out to kill your orientation week. Orientation will be in hands of the people it’s in now. This speaks to orientation activities. I will be first to admit staff has no authority to orientation week. The proposal still gives same amount of time overall for orientation week. Not necessarily consecutive. This is up to SOARB and student experience service etc. There is lots of time to figure it out because it changes in 2017. We’ve addressed concerns and have heard them. We can’t please everybody. I would encourage you not to defer this thing down the road. It might kill it and take the momentum away. I believe that we will not uncover anything new with more time. We gave it 2 moths to vote on it. AMS shot us down. I stopped by the engsoc office to see if it could be done. It’s fine to have negative view of the proposal. I just want people to know what it is. I received a lot of complaints based on false information. I believe that we should have an informed discussion and dialogue. If we’ve missed anything we’d love to hear it. Because it’s 2 years out. In 2020 the exam study period does gets squished. This proposal is only a framework. The engineering department might be able to change their schedule around it. We’ve had 3 years to think about this. We want to improve mental health and stop suicide and I think this proposal will help.

Loralyn Blondin: Thanks for looking to mental health. Did you look into other options?

Jordan Morelli: We looked at having a single day 2 years ago. Senate didn’t like it. We proposed adding a single day. They wanted a week. I can’t point to any definitive studies that support either side. We’ve heard anecdotal evidence. I don’t think this proposal is right, but it won’t’ harm anybody. McMaster has a later fall break and it’s detrimental. It’s not turning out to be a break. Message to faculty is don’t assign stuff during break. Nobody has brought studies either way. Studies are being done and we’re hoping it will lead to something concrete. It’s hard to collect data of this nature. Some changes in orientation, etc that might be a factor.

Taylor Sawadsky: Thanks for coming today. It seems you care very deeply. I want to speak to the change.org petition. We were unaware of changes. We did not mean to misconstrue anything.

Jordan Morelli: I don’t mean to impugn anyone in this room. We’ve been receiving a lot of emails with misinformation. We felt that there was a need to communicate.

Evan Dressel: Personally I don’t agree with any fall reading week. From a financial standpoint it’s hard to get home. And travel time can be distressing. Since the weeks before and after winter reading week are very stressful, how is this different?

Jordan Morelli: Turns out to be 9 day break. It was for students like you, from a 2 or 3 day break there was no way to get home. This gives a better chance to get home. A 9 day break makes it less stressful.

Evan Dressel: The reason I had an issue was that I don’t have the financials to go home.

Jordan Morelli: We were assured that services will be up and running. When I was an undergrad, I was from far away and I went to friend’s homes. I feel your position. Not having break for anyone doesn’t fix that. About the difference, during march/feb break people go far away, but fall term break they are home and recoup for peace and no assignments. Anecdotally we have seen that they use it for good benefit.

Matt Whittle: I was in faculty board presentation last November. We did not have the opportunity to meet and missed the consultation period. Orientation week: still 5 days for orientation week. How is the length of residence orientation changed? This changes our orientation week. There are benefits of a fall reading week. Does the benefit outweigh the loss of time for orientation week?

Jordan Morelli: Orientation week is very valuable. It serves a purpose. Could it be restricted and still do what it’s supposed to do? Yes. How it’s going to be restricted? I don’t know. We have no authority over that. I talked to organizations in charge and there is training they have to do. They haven’t found residence orientation that helpful. Could they do some on the first day and you get 3 days? I don’t know. There is still same amount of days. You could rethink some things.

*Point of Information:*

Morgan Williams: You said you have same amount of days. I thought we have 7 days.

*Point of Information:*

Emily Townsend: 7 official days

Jordan Morelli: This is where we’re at. I can’t speak to the logistics of orientation. The fall term is tight to fit days in. If you feel a fall term break is less helpful than orientation, then that’s fine. I’m not convinced that orientation week can’t be broken up.

Daniel Tamming: I’m wondering if pre exam study days are going to affect us.

Jordan Morelli: In most years we can preserve 4. Max of 4 in policy. Many universities give no days. 4 is important. We have tried to do that, but we can’t do everything. As written we would have 2 study days in 2020. Maybe with faculty you can get 59 instead of 60 instructional days. If that is the wish the faculty board, they might be able to do it. This is a big flaw. There are fixes. You can get it to 3. It’s preceded by a weekend. Some people have conflicts, but you can use the weekend to study a bit. Hopefully in the last couple days there are reviews etc. Issues are that other faculties must do the same. I think it’s possible to walk away from last day. We owe it to first years coming in to give this a try.

Morgan Williams: The current proposal has people losing out on work. 3 days of classes have an affect. I have no faith that instructors won’t put everything on the last day. Mental health is also a big issue. As someone who was at risk of suicide this is not a good idea. While we don’t have mental health services open, you can go either home to your family who started this in the first place or stay and hang and be socially isolated. I think this might be a way to sweep under the rug real issues. We can say it’s for mental health, but that doesn’t mean it will be.

Jordan Morelli: I made this point last time. We could have entire discussion on this. I disagree about lost wages. It is a small subset of people where this applies. For those in dire need those extra two days do make a difference. This can’t fix everything, but maybe during that week off they could take some extra days to work. I don’t have a fix for this.

Jordan Carter: You said deferring this would be mistake. I know for the decrease in study break this is big issue. I had major projects due during exam study days and I think issues with this proposal need to be addressed now before it goes through. How will you encourage professors to take it as true break? They will think that all other professors will give break so they can give work. How will you encourage that?

Jordan Morelli: I will try my best to get the message out. On the point of deferral, do we make decision to go with this and take steps and figure it out by making a serious commitment or push it to 2018 which gives us less time to prepare for 2020. I think it’s important to get as many years in before this as possible. I’m meeting with people to talk about these issues. Getting the extra day during the study period is the main thing. Sessional dates are determined 1 year ahead of time. It’s important to have a couple years of this to determine if it works. If it doesn’t work I will push to change it. I don’t think you should see this as written in stone. I believe this will be beneficial to a vast majority of students, but if I’m wrong we can change this.

Connor Meeks: Are you saying during reading week all days must be taken off or is it up to the faculty? How is this going to work out?

Jordan Morelli: The last one had every faculty getting their own length of break. We heard from the faculty of engineering and applied science that they wanted everyone to have a full week. We are doing our best to preserve the study period, which very important. I’m optimistic that we can find remedies.

Sam Anderson: I’d like to go back to the momentum of the current proposal. The scope of discussion has increased dramatically. I’m wondering if a better solution could come with more time. Touching on the different factors, faculty orientation week and residence orientation week and pre exam study days. Can we come to more objective benefits of those days? Is this something we can achieve with more experts? Why do you feel we need to vote on this now?

Jordan Morelli: I think it’s great we’re all here having this conversation. In the early days you have consultations to get to the optimal solution. I think we’re at an optimum. I think we should be getting this started in 2017. There are a lot of things to sort out and preparations to be made for the possible problematic years. I think this will benefit first years. One first year’s life could be saved and that to me is worth it. As far as more discussion, we could look at different options. We’ve already looked at that. There’s complications. This is the best fit at queens. Extending the consultation period will not bring any new information. I feel that more students should have been consulted. There was GPA issue years ago where it was felt the consultation wasn’t enough. This has been one of the most extensive consultation periods at queens. I don’t think anything new will come. I don’t think we’ll reach a better optimum. It’s an experiment, but I think it’s worth a try.

Ryan Kealey: If fall reading week seems to not be working, how quick could turnover be?

Jordan Morelli: Fairly quick. Sessional dates are set a year in advance. Within a year we could revert to something new. In time for next year we could change. 18 month ish window.

Stephanie Carswell: We’ve seen how much miscommunication has gone on with this proposal. If each year framework will be different, I’m not sure if this will cause more miscommunication. If students come to queens for fall reading week and then it’s changed that’s not good. This is very fluid and only a framework. How will this effect students in the future?

Jordan Morelli: I have no intent to facilitate flip-flopping. We will implement wholeheartedly and analyze wholeheartedly. We will not be wishy washy. We will only deviate if it’s not meeting our needs. We will be committed to it.

Emily Townsend: I’ve heard a lot about framework implanted. What about the first years not in residence? They get 3 days to get a bond that most other people get a whole semester to cultivate. There are also financial issues and issues with people not being able to get the services they need. How much consultation has there been to this issue?

Morelli: Students not in residence I can’t say much about that. There will be some issues that will need to get solved. The best we can do is be proactive.

*Point of Information:*

Emily Townsend: There were 150 first years not in residence this year.

Shannon Dickson: On the topic of suicide. Are there any more objective measurements? Any data form any other schools with implementation of fall reading week?

Jordan Morelli: No, no published data. There are studies underway. No answer for you.

Nathaniel Wong: I had you last year. I think you do care about students. Not all professors are like you. I’m also in the electrical/computing engineering faculty. Some professors are not the most caring people. You say you will force professors to not put anything during this fall reading week. I would like to see something formal that will stop professors from doing this.

Jordan Morelli: There is no plan to do this. Arts and science does have policies around things being due at end of terms. This year I had a 3rd year design course where things were due later with consultation of class. I think you run into trouble being too constrictive. I will commit to meeting with the electrical/computer engineering faculty.

Sam Grant: I was wondering if you could quantify the differences between a 5 and a 9 day break. You could take time over the weekend to have 2 weeks that are pretty good. I don’t think people who would go home for 9 days wouldn’t go home for 5 days. If you could give us 2 days for orientation week we might be more on board.

Jordan Morelli: We looked at that. Nobody is in class on the days before anyway. You lose days anyway. I agree that people in this room and faculty share your view. The previous proposal permitted that, but we heard it was not desirable. We haven’t been hearing from arts and science. There was a question posed and a voting on this question. It was quite split for engineering but ASUS were 65% in favor. Along faculty lines you’re small group of students. I feel for you. But this compromise doesn’t benefit the majority.

*Point of Information:*

Evan Dressel: I believe the vote was 51% to 49% total and 85% against for engineering.

*Point of Information:*

Taylor Sawadsky: I think the information about this can be found on the AMS website.

Jordan Morelli: I couldn’t find it.

Emma Howard: How do you expect having only 3 teaching days in a week?

Jordan Morelli: Other schools are doing this. This is experiment. They really want people to start on Monday and end on Friday. I’m optimistic. People are eager to get here and be in classes. From exchange students they find it bewildering not being in class for 9 days. You are here for education and for other activities. I‘m hoping it will be fine. Other universities are doing it and they don’t seem to be having a problem.

*Point of Information:*

Matt Whittle: One of the original reasons why this proposal was shot down was there were huge logistical issue for labs on Thursdays or Fridays. It didn’t make sense for engineering students.

Matt Whittle: Thanks Morelli. One of point that was made was students needed more time to work. I don’t see that as problem. Classes would start on same week in September. Orientation week happens before classes start. Leaders are there because they want to be. It’s their choice not to work those extra days. They deal with the consequences. If a student needs money they will have the same time to work. That feedback was delivered by a single person and is valid, but you would get more feedback if you address more people. One single change has huge implications.

Jordan Morelli: That’s a huge point. When we were hearing from student government they gave that recommendation. The AMS commissioner came with that point and we had to take it as a point of all students. I don’t personally agree. We heard it. We have it in consideration. Despite my personal views, we made modifications. If we ask every student, we can skew results. It depends what we ask. We’ll get a split response and won’t give us new information.

Loralyn Blondin: Earlier you said the AMS doesn’t do due diligence. Is there a benefit in taking more time? Are you planning on taking more information from students?

Jordan Morelli: Let’s make a decision. We need input. There will be consultation. You guys are thinking about this. I’m hopeful you guys will stay engaged. It’s not the end of consultation, its the beginning of meaningful work.

Eric McElroy: Thank you for being here and talking to us Morelli. Would you say fall reading week is an inevitable reality? Are we moving towards this regardless?

Jordan Morelli: I wouldn’t say it’s inevitable. I would like discussion the merits of having it. If we have a full discussion of the merits and it’s not approved then I’ll deal with that. Most other universities have adopted it. There has been a shift in student perspectives. I think the changes have been good and positive. My experience of orientation was 3 weeks of hazing. What you have is better and it could get even better. I value the process. I don’t think it’s inevitable but very likely.

Alexander Rey: I’m always all about the procedure. When there were issues with the sexual response policy and the non-academic misconduct policy everyone got emails. Both had dedicated sections of the secretary website. There were frequently asked question sections for the policies. For this you have to scroll way down the queens secretarial website. Does this meet the bar for discussion and publication?

Jordan Morelli: I pointed some fingers but I take some responsibility. This didn’t happen as effectively as we’d hoped. We made announcements in senate. We made announcements to the faculty board. We asked the secretary board to publish it. The difference between the two things mentioned is they were backed by law. This would be acting regardless. They made an unusual effort. We had to be proactive about that. From reading things like the journal. Even that process too slow.

*Point of Information:*

Taylor Sawadsky: There are qualitative results on the AMS assembly website.

 Julie Tseng: Thanks for coming in. Sorry to hear that there were troubles getting in touch with us. Some members talked about quantitative measures. It’s important in this coming year to do robust analysis with feelings of students throughout this year. If the university does decide to implement this I want to see the data. I want to see the plan that we can come up against this.

Jordan Morelli: I’m not having right skill set. We’ve asked people to spearhead the study. I’m hoping such as study will get conducted.

Brandon Tseung: I think you do have the best interest for us. Regarding the emails with misinformation. I think it’s beneficial to defer the motion. It gives students a longer time to better understand the information.

Jordan Morelli: I respect your view, but I feel it’s not acceptable to have a campaign of misinformation and then ask to get a deferral. We have heard this committee has been already struck. This can only happen if people are actively spreading these things. You are deferring for the wrong reasons. We’ve had 2 months to get this sorted out. AMS has wasted 4 or 5 of those weeks. The real consultation will be after the proposal has been accepted. We shouldn’t delay this. The way we plan things, we can’t practically plan 2020 right now.

###  ii) President Summer Plans

Taylor Sawadsky: Hi everyone. My name’s Taylor. I’m new the new engsoc prez. I’m really excited about summer. My duties for summer are broken down into static routine duties, projects, and pesident specific tasks.

* Static
	+ Meetings with:
		- Dean Woodhouse
		- Faculty Board
		- Frosh Week O Chair
		- Sci Formal Convener
	+ Managing the summer office
	+ Correspondence with
		- Directors
* All exec-Projects
	+ Space improvement
		- Encsoc lounge
			* Clean lounge
			* Clean computers
		- Clark lounge
		- Design bay
		- LIC space evaluation
	+ Other
		- Meet other exec teams
		- Primer purpling
		- Repainting year crests
		- Standard first aid training
		- Admitted students day
* President specific summer projects
	+ First year involvement
	+ Accessibility changes
	+ ED business cards and mane tags
	+ Primer and engenda review
	+ Frosh week and sci formal budget review
	+ Talking with arscis
	+ Positon descriptions
	+ Professional development and discipline clubs
	+ Managing summer office
	+ Englinks improvements

Questions:

Brandon Tseung: Anything you missed?

Taylor Sawadsky: Discipline clubs: I want to look at pep talks initiatives.

Tyler Snook: Biggest goal for summer?

Taylor Sawadsky: Accomplish tasks that benefit all students. We can get caught up in society work. I want to keep up stuff to influence all students.

Stephanie Carswell: What would you cut if you run out of time?

Taylor Sawadsky: If you look at summer plan it’s all there. I have a couple things, looking into blood drive, englinks high school outreach, appreciation within society, and repainting year crests. I did calculation of days during summer minus 6 half days for rituals. 46 days in budgeting, leaving 31 for transitioning and having meetings etc.

###  iii) VP (Student Affairs) Summer Plans

Evan Dressel:

* Cleaning and Reorganization
	+ Clean clark and reorganize
	+ Organize SA (society affairs) and SD(student development filing cabinets
	+ Install cark stairway TV
	+ ILC hiring space evaluation
	+ Design bay tidy
* Director( Minus IA)
	+ Conferences/Events
		- Build conference and events calendars
	+ Design
	+ Communications
* Internal Affairs
	+ Hiring Policy Overhaul
	+ Probation policy for conferences and events and students and director
	+ Policy review
* Discipline Clubs
	+ Consultation on bursary
	+ Writing bursary policy
	+ Finishing event sanctioning pamphlet with new head studcon and dave walker
* Maintaining relationships
* Miscellaneous Tasks and projects

Questions:

Julianna Jeans: Time calculations?

Evan Dressel: I took form Alex Wood’s budgeting.

Julianna Jeans: I felt some were fairly broad. Could you be more specific?

Evan Dressel: For policy 5-10 days. I gave that amount of time because with policy you could fix and then find contradictions. Most of budget is 75-90 full days.

Julianna Jeans: Did Alex have any sort of update to his actuals? You should implement that to see what you actually spend time on.

Evan Dressel: We can look into that.

Eric McElroy: Time executives have over the summer is limited and expensive. If you have extra time what will you use it on?

Evan Dressel: I’m not 100% sure. I’m sure things will come up. It gets sucked into meeting and confidential stuff. I over budgeted so I could take plenty of time.

Alexander Rey: Note on policy: specifics on change or updates?

Evan Dressel: The notification of candidates. It was left really awkwardly. I would like to clearly define that. Option to email. People are not liking no calls. You have to be verbally contacted.

###  iv) VP (Operations) Summer Plans

Tyler Bennett:

* Learning
	+ General
	+ Finance portfolio
	+ Service portfolio
	+ IT portfolio
	+ Liability
	+ Accounting
	+ AODA compliance
* Static
	+ Meetings
	+ Administrative
	+ Rituals/BBQ’s
	+ Reporting
	+ Documentation
* Spaces
	+ Clark Hall
		- Windows
		- Bathroom
		- Finance Office
		- Clean and Cubbies
	+ Tom Harris Lounge
		- Stakeholder Consultation
		- Mail
		- Finance Corner
		- File Storage
	+ Finances
		- Society
			* Budget
			* Investments
		- Organizational
			* Information accessibility
			* Fiscal Year
			* Chart of Accounts
		- Online Payments
			* To accommodate and develop one online system.
			* ITS policies
			* WEM
			* Implementing system
	+ Services
		- Financials
		- Operations
		- Documentation
	+ IT
		- Infrastructure
		- Hiring Portal
			* Through WebApp
	+ Advisory Board
		- Budgets
		- Strategic plans
		- Annual report

Questions:

Emily Townsend: Improvements to staff and manger training?

Tyler Bennett: Head and business managers. I want to update their financials. I also want to have monthly deliverables. To all service managers, I want to have a manual database of transition manuals, manager training PowerPoints with logos and content. I want staff training to be relevant and cohesive and comprehensive.

*Point of Information:*

Morgan Williams: Science Quest’s year runs Jan to December.

*Point of Information:*

Andrew Crawford: Their fiscal year does so it is applicable.

Julianna Jeans: What are you most excited for?

Tyler Bennett: I’m most excited about Clark. This is something I’ve been looking forward to for a long time. A place for all students to go. We don’t use it as a full society.

Krisy Tu: Spending?

Tyler Bennett: Currently there are only 2 ways for services to spend money: By check or executive credit cards. This can sometimes be difficult. We are evaluating the possibility for the use of society wide debit or credit cards. As a society people should not be dependent on their own financial situation to operate service.

Quorum count: 23

## VII. New Business: Motions 3-14

### Motion 3

Whereas: The President created and presented a summer plan;

& whereas: the President should be working effectively for the students throughout the summer;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Council approve the President’s summer plans for the summer of 2016 as outlined in APPENDIX “EXEC-SUMMER”.

Moved by: Taylor Sawadsky

Seconded by: Evan Dressel

Opening (Taylor Sawadsky): I’m excited to do this. I’m excited to approve it. I like formatting.

Eric Mc Elroy: Good plan.

**Motion Passes: 8:00 pm (27,0,1)**

Taylor Sawadsky abstains

### Motion 4

Whereas: The Vice President Student Affairs created and presented a summer plan;

& whereas: the Vice President Student Affairs should be working effectively for the students throughout the summer;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Council approve the Vice President Student Affair’s summer plans for the summer of 2016 as outlined in APPENDIX “EXEC-SUMMER”.

Moved by: Evan Dressel

Seconded by: Tyler Bennett

Opening (Evan Dressel): I am super excited to work for you guys this summer. If you have an issue fire me message. I’m excited to get the discipline bursary on the road. If you have questions let me know.

**Motion Passes: 8:01 pm (26,1,1)**

### Motion 5

Whereas: The Vice President Operations created and presented a summer plan;

& whereas: the Vice President Operations should be working effectively for the students throughout the summer;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Council approve the Vice President Operation’s summer plans for the summer of 2016 as outlined in APPENDIX “EXEC-SUMMER”.

Moved by: Tyler Bennett

Seconded by: Taylor Sawadsky

Opening (Tyler Bennett): Sorry Lianne that was more than 4.5 mins. If you have questions hit me up over the summer.

Brandon Tseung: Please clean the Clark washroom.

**Motion Passes: 8:02 pm (26,0,1)**

Nathaniel Wong abstains

### Motion 6

Whereas: The Executive have things they want to improve;

& whereas: things need to keep working;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Council approve the Executive summer budget as seen in APPENDIX “SUMMER-NIGHTS”.

Moved by: Taylor Sawadsky

Seconded by: Evan Dressel

Thirded by: Tyler Bennett

Opening (Taylor Sawadsky): A quick change. We removed two line items. ESARQ land survey already budgeted, so we removed it. Conferences software program was not necessary. Eric was for that.

Eric McElroy: Line item numbers do help for future reference. A lot of money in budget allocation that has been given to you. Is this enough? If you do spend anything else will you report it?

Tyler Bennett: Yes. By email. A couple motions further down, separated from main summer budget. Very focused on later items. We wanted to be cognisant of that. We have a lot of money and don’t want to spend for the sake of spending.

Stephanie Carswell: Cubbies included in budget?

Tyler Bennett: Yes.

Stephanie Carswell: Have you thought of using lockers instead of cubbies? Quarter lockers with their own lock for laptops/ personal items that are valuable. People might feel more comfortable with that

Tyler Bennett: I think that’s a great idea. I will get consultations on that. We want to talk to stakeholders on what they wold like.

Andrew Crawford: Do prices estimated include shipping?

Tyler Bennett: No, thanks for bringing that up. We will report to council.

Lorarlyn Blondin: Maybe quarters can go to jacket fund?

*Point of Information:*

Stephanie Carswell: You get quarter back when you give back the key.

Matt Whittle: Interesting idea. People might steal the keys. People should use their own locks.

**Motion Passes: 8:09 pm (25,0,2)**

Nathaniel Wong abstains

### Motion 7

Whereas: Our information technology infrastructure is woefully inadequate for our society;

& whereas: before there is substantial change to web interfaces, the infrastructure needs to be fixed;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Council approve the spending of $1500 for the use of contracting an infrastructure change to the Engineering Society servers for the summer of 2016 as outline in APPENDIX “IT-MONEY”.

Moved by: Tyler “Life moves pretty fast, if you don’t” Bennett

Seconded by: Robert “Stop and look around once in a while you might miss it” Saunders

Opening (Tyler Bennett): I will amend motion to drop it to $1500. We got recent update from company we will be working with. You received summer proposal. We’ve been pressed for time. I’m proud of Robert. Real issue for summer was infrastructure. Best done by professionals. We can use working group going forward on how to deliver services. Rack Space. Robert has been looking at how we can fully use services in Clark hall, etc. $800-$1000 for service management. We have been working with them for a period of less than a week. Web Site Migration. We’ve been working with these services to have stuff not crash.

Eric McElroy: Infrastructure is the biggest issue. It’s a reasonable cost for a stable website. To Tyler: How much of that was jargon to himself?

Tyler Bennett: Not all jargon. I’m not an expert. That’s why we want to pay experts. I do not fully understand now. We will keep consulting with people to make sure we aren’t blind.

Mia Hampton: Is engsoc apply a priority for the future? What is the intent for it?

Tyler Bennett: Deals with netIDs. I am looking at doing changing the hiring portal in my off time for Web App that sci formal used. Looking into that as well as IT change. Looking towards developing this as well as fixing IT infrastructure.

**Motion Passes: 8:16 pm (26,0,0)**

### Motion 8

Whereas: The pole is needed for pole day in Orientation Week;

& whereas: we want to get it back;

& whereas: it is currently in Toronto;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Council approve the spending of $1000 to recover the grease pole over the summer.

Moved by: Evan Dressel

Seconded by: Taylor Sawadsky

Thirded by: Tyler Bennett

Opening (Taylor Sawadsky): The pole is currently in Toronto. We are working on getting the items required. We will be responsible for paying for transport. We need money for rental and driving. There is a buffer to assess it and repair damages to make it usable if necessary.

Emma Howard: Can we put down in minutes exactly what it’s used for?

Taylor Sawadsky: It’s to pay for transport back to Kingston and any official repairs to make it usable for September

Stephanie Carswell: Are you looking into getting a car to go to Toronto and a UHaul back?

Taylor Sawadsky: You have to pay for the shortest 1 trip from Toronto to Ottawa. We have to do a 2 way trip.

Kristy Tu: Why is this out of the society budget and not the frosh week budget?

Taylor Sawadsky: This is out of scope of normal frosh week. It is in Toronto because it was taken.

**Motion Passes: 8:19 pm (27,0,0)**

### Motion 9

Whereas: QHacks is currently ratified as an Engineering Society competition;

& whereas: some of the EngSoc policies are not the right fit for them;

& whereas: they would like more freedom in their hiring;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Council de-ratify QHacks as an Engineering Society competition.

Moved by: Rakan “It’s no you, it’s me” Al-Shawaf

Seconded by: Anthony “There’s someone else, isn’t there” Scheeren

Opening (Rakan Al-Shawaf): We are ratified under engsoc right now. We got ratified with engsoc not thinking about what that implies and the policies that go along with it. We think it is in best interest to everyone to deratify. The hiring policy not good for us. Because of this we would like to deratify.

Bailey Piggott: Last council we heard from the conference of business and mining that they were defatifying. What is the difference here?

*Point of Information:*

Taylor Sawadsky: The conference of business and mining got deratified for growth. This so soon after that it is a different situation

*Point of Information:*

Rakan Al-Shawaf: We were ratified in February

Alexander Rey: I’d like to clarify which section of the hiring policy is issue. Every group is unique, but before you take the extreme step to be deratified, why can’t you follow the policy?

Rakan Al-Shawaf: Initially the issue was the hiring policy, but there are also other issues. There is a two week rule that we are not in a position to follow. Hackathon should be for all faculties and this makes it very biased towards the engineers.

*Point of Information:*

Evan Dressel: We can waive the two week rule if necessary.

Rakan Al-Shawaf: We want to set it up to be a cross faculty event. The co chair rule can’t be waived. The rule is that one of the co chairs has to be an engineer. Many of our co chairs were not engineers. It’s not fair for the other faculties. Having it as an only engineering event might defer other faculties and side hackers.

Taylor Sweet: The fact that you’re coming to deratify so soon after being ratified is a big issue. It gives a view to other clubs that they can ratify and deratify with no consequences. Having a club ratify for funds and then defatify is bad. Clearly your co chairs were not fully informed on the policy, but they should have known. It looks bad on us to deratify you so soon.

Anthony Sheeran: QCBM is a similar case. As Taylor mentioned, other conferences also got ratified and deratified. Why should they be allowed to do this and not us?

Alex Doig: Does Qhacks have a plan for reratification? Think of deratification for engsoc policy.

Rakan Al-Shawaf: Hiring policy is an issue, but the main issue is that it should be faculty wide. Engsoc trumps AMS rules. Apologies for wasting time you time. Also, this is a special case not a conference.

*Point of Information:*

Evan Dressel: In your contract you are a competition not a conference. It says specifically they will follow engsoc hiring policy.

*Point of Information:*

Taylor Sawadsky: You have a contract that you agreed to follow.

*Point of Information:*

Evan Dressel: The director of conferences also oversees Qhacks

Rakan Al-Shawaf: We feel that, as hackers or delegates we should not be under engsoc. This even is run differently. There are no delegate fees. We provide 6 meals for free. It’s very unique and free. This is very difficult to do. We think it is in engsoc’s best interest to deratify us.

*Point of Information:*

Jordan Carter: Did any money come from engsoc?

Rakan Al-Shawaf: No. We said we just wanted the engsoc logo on our website and to have your blessing. We didn’t think we would have to follow the hiring policy.

Taylor Sweet: I have a quote from Loralyn Blondin about following the hiring policy.

Rakan Al-Shawaf: You can see from minutes where the confusion happened. Riggers asked would you be follow engsoc hiring policy. The response answered a different question. Jennifer did not say yes. There was confusion. She misunderstood.

Connor McMillan: You keep speaking about best interest of the society. Why is it in our best interest?

Rakan Al-Shawaf: I am an engineer. This should not be an event that engineers are held liable to. People who have knowledge of hackathons will not be happy that it is an engineering event.

Point of Information

Alex Amos: Only 3 of 14 exec members were engineers

Rakan Al-Shawaf: The exec team has 3 engineers. The winners were engineers because of the high quality of the event. We want to continue being a diverse team. In order to do this it is best not to go through engsoc apply.

Connor Meeks: Clarify what you mean by liability.

*Point of Information:*

Tyler Bennett: Any event, team, club, etc that displays the logo represent engsoc. We should be cognisant of that.

*Point of Information:*

Eric McElroy: There is a reputational liability of the logo. Legal liability lies with AMS, but there is representation liability to worry about.

*Point of Information:*

Connor Meeks: Why would engsoc want to get out of the premier hackathon?

Rakan Al-Shawaf: We want a partnership with engsoc, but to uphold the quality of the event it is better not to be part of engsoc.

*Point of Information:*

Connor Meeks: Why can you not do this under engsoc?

Rakan Al-Shawaf: In order to have the best hiring practice and to have the best quality we cannot be under engsoc hiring policy. We want to keep the quality of the event up and have it be unbiased towards all faculties

Julianna Jeans: I was the director of internal affairs and I asked you about the hiring policy. I specifically asked you and you said yes.

*Point of Information:*

Loralyn Blondin: When you get ratified by us you have to sign contract saying you understand the policy

Julianna Jeans: What has changed? I would like to see more justification on what’s changed.

Rakan Al-Shawaf: I should have read more and understood more. As an excited engineer I wanted engsoc to be involved and wanted the logo and partnership. I just went through this quickly and you must have seen how confused I was. I didn’t understand how legit this process was and the intensity. That was my fault and I know that now. I wanted the event to be sick. I didn’t understand all the policies.

*Point of Information:*

Alexander Rey: We have no recourse beyond deratifying people. If they do not follow rules we deratify them. There are no consequences beyond that.

Loralyn Blondin: Policies are put into place for a reason. If deratified, how would you ensure hiring is fair?

*Point of Information:*

Rakan Al-Shawaf: Every applicant has interview, ability to resubmit to lesser submission, standard club AMS procedure, long window of application, standard AMS by the book procedure.

*Point of Information:*

Max Lindley-Peart: What is long window?

Michael Molyneaux: You don’t have to have a certain time. Long window could mean 5 days.

*Point of Information:*

Jacqueline Craig: I know proxy Amos was on the exec this year. There is an issue with him being here about to proxy. Ultimately we are here for students of engsoc and that’ s big opportunity with hackathon. Don’t want to see think form a bad relationship between engsoc and hackathon.

Rakan Al-Shawaf: We still want to work with engsoc and work with engineers.

*Point of Information:*

Michael Molyneaux: Just the exec has 3/14 engineers. Engineers will have as much opportunity to participate as delegates.

Eric McElroy: Groups should be able to propagate with us with the logo and everything. If this event is so against being a part of engsoc isn’t there a problem with that? We should think about that. My recommendation is to deratify with prejudice.

Taylor Sawadsky: It has become clear you are not aware of consequences. If you deratify you cannot use ILC or the logo.

Rakan Al-Shawaf: I think it’s in the best interest of engineers for us to use the ILC.

*Point of Information:*

Alex Amos: With regards to space, we booked the ILC before we ratified.

Rakan Al-Shawaf: ILC was very empty and does not conflict with engineers. We want to run HACK. We should not have issues with using buildings.

*Point of Information:*

Taylor Sawadsky: I would like you to understand consequences

Brandon Tseung: You must understand our issue with deratifying you. Have you considered ratifying under other faculty societies?

Michael Molyneaux: No. AMS represents best with all students. In order to maintain expansion we think we should only be under the AMS.

Emily Townsend: I am weirded out by the tone this discussion is taking. If they want to leave they can. Our joint ratification and hiring policy is a complete mess. We as a society have policy that trumps the AMS. If you’re cross ratified you should be able to choose. Trying to force a group to stay that wants to leave seems unnecessarily vengeful.

*Motion to Extend Council:*

**Motion Passes: 8:59 pm (17,3,0)**

Max Lindley-Peart: We’ve had a bad experience. This has used up a lot of everyone’s time. How can we ensure future groups do their homework and this doesn’t happen again?

Rakan Al-Shawaf: Make sure all co chairs are attending when the contract is being signed.

Michael Molyneaux: Fix policy that is laid out. This is one of the issues about the gray zone between engsoc and AMS policy. There is no base case for this. Maybe craft policy for engsoc and AMS joint clubs.

*Point of Information:*

Evan Dressel: That is part of our policy that I’m working on this summer.

Loralyn Blondin: What happens if we don’t deratify them and they don’t have time to hire new chairs?

Evan Dressel: We would end up hiring co chairs in fall

*Point of Information:*

Loralyn Blondin: What happens if they just don’t follow the rules?

*Point of Information:*

Brandon Tseung: Is there nothing similar we can look to?

*Point of Information:*

Morgan Williams: We can’t take anything away.

*Point of Information:*

Taylor Sawadsky: We can take away the ILC.

*Point of Information:*

Michael Molyneaux: Rules are made for reason. We will follow the rules regardless.

Alan Goodman: Agreement with Emily Townsend. I have qualms with hiring policy. There seems to be some haste with ratification. Don’t be so hasty leaving. Instead of leaving before anything’s done. Maybe give it a shot and see where it goes.

Rakan Al-Shawaf: There’s no time.

Connor Meeks: Evan said the two week rule could be waived. This is more about the precedent that engsoc wants to set. What are the repercussions? Try to take both sides. What is the policy going to be? Discuss?

Michael Molyneaux: One policy solution is to have a grace period between asking for and gaining ratification. That’s a really great way to not be hasty or meeting to talk about policy.

Matt Whittle: You were super excited when you came here. You are not the only ones who are ratified with another organization. If you decide to only market to engineers it’s your fault. We should find a solution together. This does set a precedent for other conferences. Deratification is big step. We should figure it out together. What about CEEC?

Rakan Al-Shawaf: CEEK is ratifies by engsoc and commerce.

Loralyn Blondin: We have specific joint policy between comsoc and engsoc.

Rakan Al-Shawaf: There should be something with AMS also. As far as hiring, having someone with purple rugger in engsoc lounge etc. shows engineering bias. Also having to have an engineering co chair

Matthew Lawson: If we vote this down will you follow our hiring policy?

Rakan Al-Shawaf: We will meet with VPs and exec to see what to do, but we should still follow your policy.

Connor Meeks: Did you think of this from the side of policy that would be better for you guys?

Rakan Al-Shawaf: It is best when joint ratification policies are thought out, but going forward I think it’s best to be deratified.

Stephanie Carswell: This is going back to the ILC room booking. It’s not up to Simon to dictate who can book the the ILC.

Taylor Sawadsky: Simon has no obligation to listen to what we say. We have been finding that it’s been very busy. We don’t want to have Qhacks in on a busy weekend.

Rakan Al-Shawaf: As an engineer we wouldn’t want to use the space if it’s going to conflict with people. These people are dedicated and being in the engineering buildings helps our network.

Julianna Jeans: I think it would be beneficial to find a solution instead of just deratifying them. It’s a weird precedence that they can just deratify whenever it is convenient for them. It’s casual and we should set something strict.

*Point of Information:*

Loralyn Blondin: Though 2 week period has been waived, we do not hire during exams which gives us small window for hiring.

Alex Adusel: We want to follow national hackathons. We want to be in the spirit of collaboration especially for co chair hiring.

Emily Townsend: I want us to think about the other precedent we are setting. We don’t want people to feel like they are stuck and they have to misbehave in order to get out. This is the other perspective.

Michael Molyneaux: It’s like the Hotel California, you can check out whenever you want but never leave.

*Motion to call the question:*

Moved by: Jaqueline Craig

**Motion Passes: 9:20 pm (11, 7, 0)**

*Vote on motion :*

**Motion Passes: 9:20 pm (13,8,5)**

Jaqueline Craig, Taylor Sweet, Hazik Ahmad, Alan Goodman and Alex Amos abstain

### Motion 10

Whereas: The Capital Fund is a project intended to allow the Engineering Society Services to pursue projects outside the scope of their current operating budgets;

& whereas: this would increase the value provided to students through innovation and growth;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Council approve the changes to Policy Section θ: Financial Policies as seen in APPENDIX “CAPEX”, pending approval from a certified General Accountant.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT:

Council empower the accountant the authority to make minor alterations to the policy, within the spirit of this motion, solely for the purpose of ensuring conformity to CRA regulation. All changes are subject to approval from ERB, and subsequent notification of Council.

Moved by: Tyler Bennett

Seconded by: Andrew Crawford

Opening (Andrew Crawford): The capital fund has been in works for 2.5 years. It allows services to pursue projects that they wouldn’t be able to pursue within their operation budget. The general mechanism is that all services participating have a set balance and if they are over or under it comes out of the capital fund. The capital planning committee decides what the capital fund will be used for. VP Ops will also be involved. The board gives recommendations to the executives. The fact is that right now we do not have a certified general accountant on this policy. There are Canadian Revenue Industry standards. We want approval and we want to fix policy.

Quorum check: 20

Alex Doig: Why is this going through the ERB and council and not being directly approved?

Andrew Crawford: Approval might come during the summer. The hope is this can get input during the summer and before next year. Ideally this would be implemented before summer for a proper discussion.

Morgan Williams: Science Quest is really different. We hire on a different schedule. We have no capital assets. To me there are only a few small assets. We stand to lose from this funding. We have had no deficit in a while. We have made some serious profit. What threatens us are the policy issues. We are having to pay a lot for space. None of this is capital assets. You have to provide many irrelevant science quest details.

*Point of Information:*

Marc Fleck: If Science Quest runs into an issue the money will come from the capital fund.

Morgan Williams: You are always referring to capital assets. This is not a great idea. We have made a point of saving our money. I don’t feel comfortable putting it into a pot and having to jump through hoops to get it back is a good thing.

*Motion to Extend Council:*

Moved by: Julianna Jeans

Seconded by: Galvin Niu

**Motion Passes: 9:29 pm (23,1,0)**

Morgan Williams: I would like to see a way to opt out. This seems like some kind of taxation scheme. I’m concerned about how this is wholesale transfer of money.

Andrew Crawford: To address Morgan, those have been points since the very start. I was not aware of any past Science Quest directors who were against that.

*Point of Information:*

Tyler Snook: Courtney McGrath, the current Science Quest director is not against this as far as I am aware.

Andrew Crawford: This provides money to anyone who uses our services. The safety net does not go away. By the balance reset mechanism this is all taken care of. Every management team for any service does not have to worry about the past affecting them. Say for one year for Science Quest if they take a hit it only lasts one year. It takes away the financial worry. What benefit does Science Quest have? There is none other than bonding to the other services.

*Point of Information:*

Morgan Williams: Do we have numbers on last time Science Quest went into a deficit?

Andrew Crawford: Not in the past 7 years. Many years ago a lot was different. Every service goes through highs and lows. Joining together the services makes sure everything is balanced out. Overall the services are profitable. If there is a concern of no room or if there is a need for new computer the capital fund can cover that. About amending policy, that is the reason we are doing this now and coming to you.

Tyler Bennett: Any large purchase over $1000 must be okayed by VP Services regardless. There is policy in place that helps with this. It ensures cash flow policy does not go overboard.

Bailey Piggott: This capital fund has been ongoing project with the advisory board for over a year. This has been very thought through. Concerns have been discussed. In policy, can we change the discussion to any summer meeting? To bring to the advisory board.

Jaqueline Craig: At end of day it is my belief that engsoc should serve students. It should provide professional experience and actually serve students. The capital fund will provide both, so if it means that CEO is giving up some of its profit so we can be more accessible at Clark, it’s best for the students. I’m not sure about the mandate for services.

*Point of Information:*

Andrew Crawford: The mention of first summer meeting is about capital assets. It’s just to know what each service has as capital assets.

Tyler Bennett: I come from managing CEO and we do not have a lot of capital assets. This fund is a way to unite services to serve students.

Loralyn Blondin: Science Quest might not currently have capital assets but they could at some point.

Kristly Tu: Yes as member Craig stated, this capital fund is by students for students. If the capital fund does not go through we will have to take from engsoc and take from students. Moving toward the capital fund will help avoid this. I’ve seen unexpected things happen. With the opening of Tim Horton’s and Common Ground we could not improve for a very long time. It’s a question of the balance reset model vs. the taxation model. The balance reset model puts us in a better position for unexpected things. What happens when services don’t make money?

Marc Fleck: A huge reason why this is important is because trust is put in the head managers. We can sit down in March of next year to actually start the capital fund and adjust if we need to. This is an ongoing discussion and we can re-evaluate if we need to.

Eleanor McAuley: There has been a lot of consultation. We just need to do this. There’s multiple services that are stagnant. This is a great way to unite the services and help engsoc.

Emily Townsend: We call it a capital fund and it goes to the services. The way I’ve seen policy we’re not taking into account their differences. Many of them have staffing differences. I like this idea, but the fact that it’s so focused on people who need capital is not the best.

Andrew Crawford: This is not only for capital expenditures. It was intended for that, but it extends beyond buying equipment. It can be for software. It’s for things that are more than $1000 and last more than a year. Yes there are differences in service costs and costs of goods for some services, but this is moving to model where services are treated equally. Engsoc controls them. That is what we’re going towards. The iCONs were left out because finances are done by the faculty. It’s a shame that Science Quest is thought of as the bastard child. We want to make sure that the schedule is not exactly on the schedule of the other services. I’m aware of the differences of Science Quest. They’re not the only ones that have those differences.

Morgan Williams: This is not a working idea. It needs changes. I appreciate your points. All the other services seem to be in favor of this, but Science Quest should not. What’s the process to make sure we have enough funds? What happen if Science Quest wants to take their funds out? What’s the process for manipulating funds like? The policy is strict. I want amendment for exceptions that aren’t capital.

Tyler Bennett: That’s already in the policy. It’s a shared fund between all services. If you fall below you get bumped up and if you’re ahead, the money goes to the capital fund.

Jaqueline Craig: Englinks might want to be a service eventually. Have you thought into that? The BED fund is also a fund for different initiatives and it has gone through years of success and no action. It’s a good idea to look at where the BED fund fell though. Look at the BED fund as an example.

Andrew Crawford: The nature of the service leaving will determine if they can leave capital fund. I can’t tell the future. It’s intentionally not a strict policy. The point is to be flexible.

*Point of Information:*

Morgan Williams: Can we have something in the spirit of that in the policy?

Andrew Crawford: This is hugely contextual. We don’t want to have too much restraint. As a former executive I had no intention of holding back services. I can’t foresee someone not wanting to see service succeed. I’m trusting the future. It’s a bad move to restrain people in the future. About accountability? Every year VP ops will report about the capital fund to the advisory board. There is no minimum for the fund. I don’t know what we need yet.

*Motion to Extend Council:*

Moved by: Julianna Jeans

Seconded by: Galvin Niu

**Motion Passes 9:58 pm (23, 2, 0)**

Morgan Williams: I am still concerned about the state of this. It looks like it will pass though. You should still have something to hold the spirit of this.

*Point of Information:*

Evan Dressel: We have these minutes to refer to the spirit.

Morgan Williams: If I knew I didn’t need savings and could run closer to profit I might take advantage of that. I’m not sure that this is the spirit we want.

Eleanor McAuley: Managers could have potential issues not striving to meet a profit margin, but they will strive to better serve students.

*Point of Information:*

Morgan Williams: This does not apply to Science Quest.

Eleanor McAuley: Having the restart after a bad year is good. I don’t want it to hurt the services. The balance reset model will support the community the best.

Andrew Crawford: The idea of profit not going back to the services was addressed very early on. There is motivation comes from managers, making sure costs are cut on services that are operated. When looking at the capital fund, the money going into the services comes from people who are getting the services. When you are getting high profit, you are not providing the best service to the people using the service. The idea is to not make too much profit. This is not true for all services. That is intentional. I know that idea was to give a safety net. What this means is for all services to have a safety net. It means we can look to future goals better and maximize the value that the service provides, especially Science Quest. I don’t think any manager steps into a service wanting to make profit. They want it to be successful.

Galvin Niu: What are your long term strategic plans? How will Science Quest come into these plans?

Andrew Crawford: We want to develop how we will make those long term plans. Capital purchases are the biggest thing that services come into. If CEO wants to purchase storefront it can do that. Golden Words can get their newsstand. Tearoom has physical space and has capital assets. Science Quest shares physical space with tearoom. If there are concerns with the physical space, we could pursue that with the capital fund. This is not being put in place for right now, it is preparation for the future. Services have ups and downs. I don’t want to see a service go out of business for competition in the market.

*Motion to call the question:*

Moved by: Julianna Jeans

**Motion Passes: 10:07 pm (23, 2, 0)**

*Vote on motion:*

**Motion Passes: 10:08 pm (21, 1, 2)**

Morgan Williams, Bailey Piggott and Tyler Bennett abstain

### Motion 11

Whereas: There’s a hierarchy between the Internal Records Officers;

& whereas: we wish to create a more collaborative environment;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Council approve the second reading of the changes to By-Law Section 8 (Engineering Society Directors) as seen in APPENDIX “MAKING-OLD-THINGS-NEW”.

Moved by: Heather Simmons

Seconded by: Evan Dressel

Opening (Heather Simmons): We are just hoping to have the wording of the by-law changed.

**Motion Passes: 10:09 pm (24, 0, 1)**

Heather Simmons abstains

### Motion 12

Whereas: There’s a hierarchy between the Internal Records Officers;

& whereas: we wish to create a more collaborative environment;

& whereas: Policy comes with By-Law;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Council approve the changes to Policy Section γ-A.2 (Appointment Types) and Policy Section β-C.9 (Director of Communications) as seen in APPENDIX “MAKING-OLD-THINGS-NEWER”.

Moved by: Heather Simmons

Seconded by: Evan Dressel

Opening (Heather Simmons): Same reasoning as before.

**Motion Passes: 10:10 pm (26, 0, 1)**

Heather Simmons abstains

### Motion 13

Whereas: Hypothetical Sci’20 will soon become Arts’21;

& whereas: they won’t have much use for jackets when they sleep all day;

& whereas: they’ll need something cozy to wear in bed;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Council approve the introduction of Fun Fur Footie-Pyjamas and Golden Party Pillows in place of the traditional GPA’s.

Moved by: Alan Goodman

Seconded by: Tristan Brunet

Opening (Alan Goodman): We’ve talked a lot about having things in common. There are select group on this council. We’ve all passed 112 or probably will. It seems unfair that they won’t have a use for these jackets.

Kodie Becker: This is a great idea. There is much use in having these hypothetical people getting proper comfortable attire. Please support this motion.

**Motion Passes: 10:13 pm (22, 0, 0)**

### Motion 14

Whereas: Sci '19 climbed the pole;

& whereas: they climbed it faster than Sci '18;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

Sci '19 be referred to as "Sci 1-69 EL OH EL" during sessions of council.

Moved by: Clare Butler

Seconded by: Hilary Crossley

Opening (Hilary Crossley): We’ve decided on sci 1 ninety nine problems

*Motion to move to committee as a whole:*

Moved by: Evan Dressel

*Point of Information:*

Julianna Jeans: That means we can drop the formalities to move between who’s talking.

**Motion Passes: 10:15pm (24, 2, 0)**

Julianna Jeans: Sci 1 nine years to graduate

Emily Townsend: This is the funniest name I’ve heard since sci ‘16

Tyler Snook: Sci 1 hot nine bling

Daniel Tamming: Sci 1 ninety nine problems but a pole ain’t one

Julianna Jeans: Sci nineteen seconds

## VII. Break

*Council breaks: 10:18 pm*

*Council resumes: 10:25 pm*

Evan Dressel: sci 1 nine out of 10 would not recommend

Tyler Snook: sci 1 69 lol

Jaqueline Craig: Sci 1 bad at pickup lines

Alex Amos: Sci 1 nine 90% attrition

Max Lindley-Peart: Sci 1 nine graduates

Julianna Jeans: Sci 1 never gonna get non

Daniel Tamming: sci 1 nein graduates

Jaqueline Craig: Sci 1 asleep by nine

*Motion to Extend Council:*

Moved by: Julianna Jeans

**Motion Passes: 10:20 pm (23, 1, 0 )**

Tyler Snook: Sci 1 fluid at nine

Ryan Kealy: Sci 1 (honours)

Alex Amos: Sci nein keen

*Point of Information:*

Daniel Tamming: The German term nein is helpful in puns

Taylor Sweet: sci 1 tynado

Emily Townsend: Additional option sci 1 ninety nine problems and a pole was one

Julianna jeans: All upper years in the room can vote

*Motion to move out of committee of a whole:*

**Motion Passes: 10:42 pm (25, 0, 0)**

*Motion to amend the motion:*

Moved by: Evan Dressel

Opening (Evan Dressel): I think we can all be certain that this is one of the best in years.

*Motion to call the question:*

Moved by: Julianna Jeans

**Motion Passes: 10:43pm (18, 4, 0)**

*Motion to call the question:*

**Motion Passes: 10:45 pm (20, 4, 1)**

*Vote on motion:*

**Motion Passes: 10:45 pm (20, 0, 0)**

## VIII. Executive Reports

### i) President

Taylor Sawadsky: We are trying very hard to get the grease pole back. As far as fall reading week, Morelli was here and talked about it. The Dragon’s Den lady is coming to do talk. This promises to be very interesting time. Thank you for a wonderful two councils. I’d like 4th years to lead us in the engineering hymn.

*Engineering hymn is sung*

### ii) VP (Student Affairs)

Evan Dressel: I’ve been sitting on a lot of hiring panels. I met the mayor. He smiled at me. They tabled our hiring motions. I did some petition work with Julianna Jeans. I will give updates on events later.

###  iii) VP (Operations)

Tyler Bennett: It’s mostly all in my report. Shout out to Robert. We met with BMO investments. I was sent a copy of the Canadian federal budget. Sometimes you eat the bar. Sometimes the bar eats you.

## IX. Director Reports

### i) Academics

Daniel Tamming: I’ve been doing a lot of hiring. Englinks, BED Fund. This will be setting the pace for next year.

### ii) Communications

Heather Simmons: Pole stuff. I’m really excited for the year ahead. Don’t forget about #engpets

 iii) Community Outreach

Emma Howard: I hope you guys saw the booth in the ILC for LGB visibili T. Bring change on Tuesday to cover the crest for Hotel Dieu. Congrats to Galvin. Get excited for volunteer opportunities.

iv) Conferences

Taylor Sweet: Space conference, CIRQUE, etc. Conferences. If you have questions reach out to me.

v) Design

Max Lindley-Peart: I met with people and learned things. I’ve been gathering information. If you have problems design team related please tell me.

vi) Events

Francesca Feldman: We have all our chair coordinators hired. Congratulations to everyone who got hired. Movember will be hiring 3 committee members. I will be meeting with ASUS about the Terry Fox Run.

vii) Finance

Connor McMillan: Lots of clubs need financial information. I’ve been catching up the society finances. If you have anyone who is concerned about their account balance get them to reach out to me. I’m looking forward to transitioning.

viii) First Year

Alan Goodman: It’s been a frosh filled couple weeks. The open house was adorable. I’m having a borderline overdose on hiring. I met with Aphra. Ryan I appreciate you. Draw attention to Tynado and blue steel.

ix) Human Resources

All in report

x) Information Technology

Robert Saunders: All in report. Congrats to Chris Jones and team. I’m working on the IT plan for summer

xi) Internal Affairs

Lianne Zelsman: I’ve been highlighting mistakes in the by-law. I’m hiring a constitutional guru. I talked to 1 person at the March break open house about student government.

xii) Professional Development

Nathaniel Wong: Relations. Alumni mentorship summit. Queens’ consulting association chairs have been hired. We’re working on hiring committees under chairs.

xiii) Services

Tyler Snook: If you have my report handy, check the first box. Services are hiring staff this week. Science Quest school year instructors are being hired at 10 am tomorrow. Thanks for passing the capital fund motion. If you have suggestions or questions call me.

*Motion to Extend Council:*

**Motion Passes: 10:58pm (20, 0, 0)**

## X. Question Period

Jaqueline Craig: There are 40 liters of blood to be donated. Good to see queen’s class of 2020. I read though all the reports. They were really great. Keep up the good work. Keep on reporting the great work.

*Point of Information:*

Evan Dressel: Please don’t provide graphic photos without disclaimers

Matt Whittle: Regarding policy, I’m always think about why certain policy is where it is. If you could give a comment about why it is where it is like code, that could be useful.

Loralyn Blondin: If you’ve donated blood since September does it count?

*Point of Information:*

Taylor Sawadsky: If you donated blood we appreciated it. It’s good.

*Point of Information:*

Tyler Bennett: Please don’t donate all your blood at once.

Taylor Sweet: I forgot to give shoutouts. Congratulations to everyone who got hired.

Evan Dressel: I had one of the pre frosh come up to me and said he listened to our podcasts. He even asked about updates, but then I found out he was in grade 11.

## XI. Facutly Board Report

Matt Whittle: Apparently we are largest recipient of funding in Ontario. They recognized awards like the golden apple. They are currently working on the revitalization of the PEC. They have a lot of long term plans. The current plans look fantastic. They will turn it into a completely different building. Some details: there will be class space for 300 students, an innovation space, and steps similar to the ones at Goodes right in front of the PEC. The steps can’t be replaced because it’s historical. There is a pool in the basement apparently. There’s a ton of unused space that isn’t helping anyone. They want to turn the building into a disability and health and wellness space. None of us will be here, but it looks good. Funding is 100% alumni funded. It costs in the space of 80 mil. We have 69 of 80 raised. There was a huge funding drive that raised most of it. There will be a $700,000 annual maintenance fee. I heard the associate dean’s report. Admissions are growing. There was some confusion over numbers. We’ve got a lot of people coming. The numbers going into second year are a little move even. There was not the same problems as sci 18 with sci 19. They haven’t reached the caps for mech or apple.

Jordan Carter: Is the PEC renovation replacing the building?

Matt Whittle: No, there were a couple different plans. There’s another plan about BAIN/ILC. The PEC revitalization is first thing that will happen.

## XII. Almamater society report

Taylor Sawadsky: We met the Mayor. They tabled the hiring motion. There was a motion brought forth about not talking to the interviewers before being interviewed. Policy manual 3, tanning beds. No one was engaged in discussion. It was weird.

## XIII. Senate report

Emily Townsend: There was a discussion of the principal’s report. We have a climate action plan now. All the history professors opposed it which was weird. We’re freezing our financial aid. Things got a little heated. We passed 2 motions that took 3 minutes each.

Brandon Tseung: It’s been an incredible year. When I first signed up for senate I didn’t know what I was in for. I really value the experiences I’ve had here. The new incoming senator is going to do a fantastic job.

## XIV. Engineering Review Board

No ERB in attendance

## XV. Advisory board Report

It’s all in our year-end report. There were no huge problems. Thanks for passing the capital fund motion. Congrats to the new chair of the board.

## XVI. Club Reports (Sunny Group)

### i) Geological

Connor Meeks: I’m elected now. I’d like to congratulate people. I’m transitioning with Alex next week. I’ll be working with Evan during the summer

### ii) Chem Eng Chem

Heather Simmons: I’m proxying. Elections are happening next week

### iii) Applied Mathematics

Alex Amos: Life is good. Blessed with new apple kids. We had election a few weeks ago.

### iv) Civil

Stephanie Carswell: We had elections a couple weeks ago. Our president is on exchange. There were serious awards and joke awards. There is a town hall forum next week. The new plans for 67 princess look good. There are 3 gyms now. There will be some downtime for intermurals, but those will be the only things impacted.

## XVII. Year Reports

### v) Sci’16

Matthew Lawson: We had our iron ring ceremony. The after party was big. We went to the Grizzly Grill. We made a lot of money. Thanks. We’re checking out. It’s been swell.

### vi) Sci’17

Jordan Carter: We had two meetings. We’re looking at good event ideas. We made some money. Thanks. We are hoping to collaborate with bigger events.

### vii) Sci’18

Loralyn Blondin: We had an exec night on the town. Our publicity is on fire. We have some Instagrams like engineer of the week and a motivational one. Super semi reps have hit the ground running.

###  viii) Sci’19

Hilary Crossley: We had a fluid event. It was a big success. We are looking into merch. Our Instagram is also on fire.

## XVIII. Statements and Questions by Members

Tyler Bennett: I have drawings of the PEC renovations if anyone wants to see. Hit me up for cool drawings.

Tyler Snook: Sometimes discussions do get circular. I will caution you about calling the question too early. It’s important to have a good discussion, so we make sure we are doing the right thing. We want to make the most thorough decision that we can. Frosh you can change your name at jacket council. We have a bell to be rung when zingers happen. I’m passing it to Hilary.

Matt Whittle: They will start demolitions a year from now.

Loralyn Blondin: If you’re walking home make sure you have a buddy.

Emma Howard: #save the pole. We are getting slippery pete jacket bars.

Emily Townsend: I donated a shrubbery to save the pole. Miss Dixon wanted me to pass along about black ice on the way home. Walkhome is there.

Jaqueline Craig: I’ve been crying at all these graduation event. We have a lot of traditions. They give insight. I wrote down some advice. A note about personal projects. You know a lot about the society and where it needs fixing. You don’t need to be hired to just do projects. If you want to do something just do it. I encourage all of you here if you’ve been deterred, then the ED team is a great way to start. Second, my intention for last couple weeks here was to take on a project. It was a mental health strategic plan for leaders. It was a plan for people who are in management or ED or heading large events to make sure their mental health is check. It effects us all. We need to take a hold of. If anyone wants to start this kind of project talk to me. Another note, my time queens has been good, but I had a less than good start. Upper year involvement is something we need to work on 800 people going into second year 250 frecs and plants. I would have loved to have gotten involved. This is leaving out 550 people. We have to find ways for upper years to get involved not within that frec/frosh environment. There should be ways to grow it. Switching again, there’s a bunch of things that grind my gears at council. First thing, policy ties a lot of hands. There should be an equal opportunity for someone to come change the policy

*Motion to Extend Council:*

**Motion Passes: 11:31 pm (19, 1, 0)**

If you’re upset about policy you can come and change it. Another note, hiring for conferences. It seems to me that engsoc wants a final say on who’s hiring for conferences. In my opinion it would be the director of the cochairs. When I came to council I knew nobody. I was scared. If I had to come to council and present something, it would seem unwelcoming. Points of information can be used to interrupt and answer questions. Try not to interrupt people. At the end of the day let’s help students have a good time. If policy is not doing good why do we have it here? It’s been a really good time. Thank you.

Taylor Sawadsky: I want to speak to mental health. I’m going to be looking at how to reduce stress on sci formal and other things. Be kind to everyone. I had a lot of support and that is the kind of people need. Be friendly.

Alex Amos: I hope there’s no tension between engsoc and qhacks. We don’t want bad blood. I hope we can move forward on good terms.

*Motion to Close:*

Moved by: Jaqueline Craig

Seconded by: Matthew Lawson

**Motion Passes: 11:36 pm (21, 1, 0)**